

# **Hopes in Naga Peace Talks**

#### Why in news?

\n\n

The interlocutor for Naga peace talks recently held talks with all the stake holders, notably inside Nagaland for the first time.

\n\n

### What is the Nagaland issue?

\n\n

\n

- There is a sense among a few sections of the Naga people to form a separate new country, the Greater Nagalim.
  \n
- It incorporates the entire Nagaland along with Naga-inhabited parts of Manipur, Assam, Arunachal Pradesh and Myanmar.
- **Peace talks** with the then most lethal insurgent group Nationalist Socialist Council of Nagaland (Isak-Muivah), NSCN(I-M) started in **1997** when their leaders agreed to a ceasefire.
- As a culmination of over 80 rounds of negotiations over the years, a **Framework Agreement was signed in 2015**.

∖n

- The agreement was signed by the Centre's interlocutor for Naga peace talks, RN Ravi and leader of the NSCN (I-M).  $\n$
- It aimed at facilitating stronger ties among Nagas across the region, without substantially changing the jurisdictional and administrative authority of neighbouring states.

### What is the reason for the delay in resolution?

\n\n

\n

- The Naga people are a proud race and have held fast to their <u>cultures</u>, <u>traditions and language</u>.
  - \n
- There are 16 major tribes, each with a sense of nationality of its own.  $\n$
- And every tribe has its <u>village republics</u> which is a crucial part of their culture.

\n

- These diversities lead to many divergent narratives on the concept of <u>'nationality'</u> and thus Naga nationalism is both a movement and a sentiment.  $\n$
- Besides, there is the issue of holding on to the Indian nation state.  $\space{\space{1.5}n}$
- For the Nagas, the dilemma is thus between nostalgia for its <u>unique history</u> and the promise of a better future without disturbing this past.  $\n$
- Given these, <u>tribal loyalty</u> often comes in the way of a collective discourse for the future of Nagaland.
  \n
- The problem now is with the use of words in agreements which lend themselves to several interpretations depending on who the stakeholders are.

∖n

\n\n

## What are the recent developments?

\n\n

\n

- The Centre's Interlocutor for the Naga Peace talks is handling the issue with a more <u>unconventional approach</u>.
  - ∖n
- No other interlocutor has interacted with and met so many Naga National Political Groups (NNPGs) and <u>civil society groups</u>.
- For the first time, the interlocutor was able to create that integral space where <u>all voices are heard with equal respect</u>.
- However, this is sometimes done at the risk of the NSCN (I-M) calling off the talks.

\n

- As, NSCN (I-M) feel that being signatories to the Framework Agreement they alone have the right to make major decisions.  $\n$ 

\n\n

#### How does the future look?

\n\n

∖n

- The ongoing peace talks may have been initiated by the NSCN (I-M) but it has now become more inclusive.
  - \n
- This perceivable political consensus and faith in the process as far as the Framework Agreement is concerned offers hope.
  \n
- For the Naga people at this juncture, the most pragmatic step is to take a balanced view of the past.

\n\n

\n\n

### Source: The Hindu

\n

