

Hung Assembly - Goa & Manipur

Why in news?

 $n\n$

Governor of Goa ignored the established principle of inviting the single largest party and appointed BJP leader Manohar Parrikar as the CM.

 $n\n$

What is the situation?

 $n\n$

\n

• The Congress has won 17 seats in Goa and the BJP has 13 MLAs in a House of 40.

\n

- The Governor did not consult the single largest party and invited BJP, who formed an alliance, to form the government.
- A similar situation has developed in Manipur, where its Governor invited the BJP to form the government, which won fewer seats than the Congress.
- So the Congress should have been invited first.
- The BJP's claim should have been considered only if the Congress pleaded inability or failed the floor test.
- Speed cannot be the overriding or pressing consideration for the governor while assessing a party's claim to form government.
- \bullet Therefore decisions by both the Governors reflect partisanship. $\ensuremath{^{\backslash n}}$

What are Sarkaria Commission recommendations?

 $n\n$

\n

• The Constitution of India does not mandate any procedure to be followed by the Governor, in case of hung assembly.

\n

• The convention of inviting the single largest party in such a case has been outlined by the Sarkaria Commission, which studied Centre-state relations in the 1980s.

\n

• It specifically dealt with the situation where no single party obtained absolute majority.

\n

• It provided the order of preference the Governor should follow in selecting a CM in such a situation -

\n

 $n\$

1. **An alliance of parties** that was formed prior to the elections.

2. The single largest party staking a claim to form the government with the support of others, including independents.

3. A post-electoral coalition of parties, with all the partners in the coalition joining the government.

4. A post-electoral alliance of parties, with some of the parties in the alliance forming a government and the remaining parties, including independents, supporting the government from outside.

\n

 $n\n$

• They were affirmed by a Constitution Bench of the SC in Rameshwar Prasad v Union of India in 2005.

\n

 $n\n$

What are Punchhi Commission recommendations?

 $n\n$

\n

• The Justice M.M. Punchhi Commission on Centre-State Relations in 2010 laid down some guidelines to be followed in the appointment of a chief minister by a governor.

\n

- It also said the governor should invite the leader of "a pre-poll alliance commanding the largest number" or the "largest single party" to form the government in case no party or pre-poll coalition has a clear majority.
- \bullet According to Bommai judgment, such a CM must prove the majority on the floor of the assembly. $\mbox{\sc h}$

 $n\n$

What was SC's ruling?

 $n\n$

\n

• Congress filed a petition challenged the Governor's decision in the Supreme Court.

\n

- SC asked the BJP government in Goa to prove its majority within 48 hours, instead of the 15 days' time given by the Governor.
- But it was a half-measure.
- \bullet It should have upheld the principle of inviting the single largest party first. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$

\n

