

# **Implications of US-China trade war**

Click <u>here</u> to know more on the issue

\n\n

#### What is the issue?

\n\n

India can possibly benefit from the production relocation possibilities as a result of the US-China tariffs and counter-tariffs war.

\n\n

#### Who are likely to be the biggest beneficiaries?

\n\n

\n

- $\bullet$  One of the expected outcomes of the US-China tariffs and counter-tariffs is that both the countries would look to source imports from elsewhere.  $\n$
- This is inevitable as their respective imports become more expensive.  $\ensuremath{\sc n}$
- A study on the import-substitution impact of more than 7,000 trade items as a result of US-China trade-spat points to Malaysia, Japan and Pakistan as the likely largest beneficiaries of import-substitution.
- This shows that prospects of greater sourcing from India are relatively less.  $\n$
- Several Southeast Asian countries such as Thailand, Philippines, Vietnam, Indonesia and Cambodia are also expected to benefit more than India.  $\n$

\n\n

### What is the reason behind?

\n\n

\n

- The lesser prospects for India is largely <u>due to the nature of imports</u> that have been subjected to tariffs by the US and China.  $\n$
- Food, beverages and vehicles to China have been the hardest hit with regards to exports from US.  $\n$
- On the other hand, Chinese exports of electrical equipment, appliances, components and machinery to the US have been affected.  $\n$
- Most of these items are manufactured products, which are largely assembled and rolled out from Southeast Asia, Japan and Taiwan.  $\n$
- It is hardly surprising therefore that import-substitution by large markets like the US and China would benefit these countries.  $\n$

\n\n

## What are the short-term benefits for India?

\n\n

∖n

- In the short-term, import substitution efforts by both US and China would be on getting cheaper alternative imports, irrespective of locations.  $\n$
- India is likely to benefit from Chinese efforts to shift to alternative cheaper sources of <u>agricultural imports</u>.  $\n$
- India might emerge as a potential location for greater <u>import of soybean</u> by China, though the bulk of such 'new' imports might be sourced from Brazil and Argentina.

∖n

- Hence for India, this could be an opportunity for negotiating with China for greater market access of some more of its agricultural exports.  $\n$
- China recently relaxed quality barriers for rice and fish oil exports from India.
- This points to the increasing leverage it has obtained with China in agricultural exports, which can be capitalised further.  $\n$

\n\n

# What would be the long-term implications?

∖n

\n\n

 India can be a bigger beneficiary of the US-China trade-spat in the long term, because both countries would gradually witness greater <u>relocation of supply</u> <u>chains</u>.

\n

• Businesses, seeking to avoid tariffs while exporting from China to the US, and vice-versa, would have incentives to export to these countries from other locations.

\n

- The production relocation possibilities point to greater benefits for India.  $\n$
- Greater relocation possibilities can be leveraged in case of Automobiles Industry.

∖n

- For that, India has to keep tariffs low on vehicles it exports to the US, which can make it as a hub for export-oriented auto assembling.  $\n$
- Indeed, while Vietnam tops the list of Asian countries likely to benefit from long-tern relocation of final product assembling, India follows after Vietnam, Malaysia and Singapore.
- It can similarly benefit as a centre for electrical and electronic equipment, once some of these are relocated from China.  $\n$
- However, Vietnam and Malaysia are clearly ahead of India in these sectors, because of their lower costs and better infrastructure.  $\n$

\n\n

### What should be done?

\n\n

\n

• US and China recently announced ceasefire on escalation of tariffs at the G20 Summit.

∖n

- But it is not clear if they will withdraw the tariffs they have already raised.  $\n$
- The recent ease of doing business ranking shows that India fares better in the indicator of 'trading across borders' (80 for India, 100 for Vietnam).  $\n$
- Hence if this improvement is sustained, then India can effectively compete

with Vietnam in emerging as an important relocation spot.

- \n
- Thus, India's benefits from the trade war are in the longer term and it has to prepare for the long haul.  $\n$

.

\n\n

\n\n

## **Source: Financial Express**

∖n

