

## **Independence of Judiciary**

## What is the issue?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- $\bullet$  In a medical college bribery case, an advocate claimed that the FIR in the case directly involves the Chief Justice of India (CJI). \n
- The Judge hearing the case however repeatedly stressed that it was contempt to say that the FIR named the CJI.
- It becomes imperative, in this context, to understand the various provisions in place for protecting the independence of judiciary.

 $n\n$ 

## What are the legal provisions?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- **FIR** A five-judge Constitution Bench of the Supreme Court had earlier made clear the procedure in registering FIR.
- Unless the <u>government</u> first <u>"consults" the CJI</u>, no criminal case shall be registered under Section 154 of the CrPC (an FIR) against <u>a judge or Chief Justice of the HC</u>, or a judge of the SC.
- CJI's assent is imperative as he/she is a <u>"participatory functionary" in the appointment of judges</u>.
- If the Chief Justice is of the opinion that it is not a fit case for proceeding under the Act, the case shall not be registered.
- If the CJI allows registration of FIR, the government shall, for the second time, consult the CJI on the question of granting sanction for prosecution.

\n

• If the CJI himself/herself is the person against whom the allegations are received, the government shall consult any other judge or judges of the Supreme Court.

\n

• Notably, the majority in the Constitution Bench classified a <u>judge as a "public servant"</u>.

\n

- **Arrest** The Supreme Court has also laid down guidelines for the arrest of a judicial officer of the subordinate judiciary.
- The court has held that a judicial officer should be arrested for any offence only under intimation to the District Judge or the High Court.
- The immediate arrest shall only be a "technical or formal arrest".
- After arrest, it should be immediately communicated to the District and Sessions Judge of the district concerned and the Chief Justice of the HC.
- The arrested judicial officer shall not be taken to a police station without the prior orders of the District Judge.
- And no statements shall be recorded from him or her except in the presence of a counsel. He or she will also be not handcuffed.
- **Proceedings** Provisions in Judges (Protection) Act, 1985 protects judges and former judges of the SC and HCs from any civil or criminal proceedings.
- This applies for any act, thing or word committed, done or spoken by him/her in the course of their judicial duty or function.
- No court shall entertain such complaints.
- Section 77 of the Indian Penal Code exempts judges from criminal proceedings for something said or done during judicial duties.
- The government can however initiate criminal proceedings against a sitting or former judge of a superior court if it can produce material evidence to show that a judgment was passed after taking a bribe.

 $n\n$ 

**Source: The Hindu** 

\n

