



Independent Payments Regulatory Board - RBI's Dissent

Why in news?

\n\n

The RBI has opposed the government's proposal to set up a separate and independent regulator for the payments industry in the country.

\n\n

What was the proposal?

\n\n

\n

- An inter-ministerial committee was earlier set up by the Department of Economic Affairs.

\n

- It was tasked to finalise amendments to the Payment & Settlement Systems (PSS) Act, 2007.

\n

- **Recommendations** - The committee proposed the establishment of an Independent Payments Regulatory Board (PRB).

\n

- The aim is to foster competition and consumer protection, systemic stability and resilience in the payments sector.

\n

- It also recommended having a government-appointed chairperson for the PRB.

\n

- It held that the Securities Appellate Tribunal (SAT) should look at dispute cases related to the payments.

\n

- Besides, the *Payment Council of India* (PCI) also maintained that the payments sector has undergone a sea change in the last 7-8 years.

\n

- So, there are various types of risks involved, and a risk-based regulation is the need of the hour.

\n

\n\n

What is RBI's rationale?

\n\n

\n

- In a dissent note, the RBI has rejected the above recommendations.
- It has argued that payment systems are a sub-set of currency, which is regulated by the RBI.
- There is an overarching impact of monetary policy on payment and settlement systems and vice-versa.
- This adds validity to the idea that regulation of payment systems remain with the monetary authority i.e. the RBI.
- Also, the activities of payments banks come well within the purview of the traditional banking system.
- So there is no case of having a separate regulator for payment systems outside the RBI.
- Regulation of the banking systems and payment system by the same regulator provides synergy.
- Nevertheless, RBI is open to changes and is not totally against a new PSS Bill, if required.
- However, the changes should not result in the existing foundations being shaken.
- They should not result in potential creation of disturbances in an otherwise well-functioning and internationally-acclaimed structure.
- RBI also held it would prefer the Payments Regulatory Board to function under the purview of the RBI Governor.
- It, however, may comprise three members nominated by the government and the RBI respectively.
- It should come with a casting vote for the governor to ensure smooth operations of the board.

- \n
- The recommendation on Securities Appellate Tribunal was also rejected by the RBI.
- \n
- The exchanges and securities markets are not under the purview of the Payment Systems Bill.
- \n
- So there is no rationality in bringing SAT for resolving payment system-related cases.
- \n

\n\n

Why is RBI's decision welcome?

\n\n

- \n
- There is definite overlapping of the current regulatory powers of the RBI and the proposed regulations for the payments industry.
- \n
- A unified regulator can thus help lower the regulatory compliance costs and enable the seamless implementation of rules.
- \n
- Further, there is a risk that a brand new regulator may be unable to match the expertise of the RBI in carrying out regulatory duties.
- \n
- This is especially given the fact of rapidly growing payments industry which can ill-afford regulatory errors at this point.
- \n
- Moreover, the decision comes as an expression of RBI's firm stance against any dilution of its current powers over the financial sector.
- \n

\n\n

What lies ahead?

\n\n

- \n
- The move comes as an instance of RBI and Union government being at loggerheads over the legitimate extent of their powers.
- \n
- RBI's dissent against government's plans has potentially set the stage for a regulatory turf war.
- \n
- But, at this time of increasing risks to the stability of domestic financial

system, both the government and RBI must work together.

\n

\n\n

Source: BusinessLine, The Hindu

\n\n

Quick Facts

\n\n

Payments Council of India

\n\n

\n

- The Payments Council of India was formed under the aegis of IAMAI (Internet and Mobile Association of India) in the year 2013.

\n

- It was set up to cater to the needs of the digital payment industry, address and help resolve various industry level issues and barriers.

\n

- It works to promote payments industry growth and to support the national goal of 'Cash to Less Cash Society' and 'Growth of Financial Inclusion'.

\n

\n



IAS PARLIAMENT

Information is Empowering

A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative