

India and US - Maritime Cooperation

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

• In the recently concluded Modi-Trump interface, maritime security was stressed thrice by the US president.

\n

 The joint statement acknowledged the need for both countries to play meaningful and legitimate roles in the 'Indo-Pacific' and to ensure freedom of movement at sea consistent with international norms.

 $n\$

What does it mean for India?

 $n\n$

\n

• The term "Indo-Pacific" was first coined by then Secretary of State Hillary Clinton in 2008.

\n

- \bullet The Americans soon shied away from it and put out the more convoluted terminology of "Indo-Asia-Pacific". $\$
- By using the old term again, it seems that the US recognises India's legitimate role in waters stretching from the **Suez Canal to the waters of the western Pacific.**

\n

- India is an Indian Ocean Region (IOR) player, but given its size, interests and growing maritime trade, its concerns extend beyond it.
- So, even though India is not a South China Sea littoral state, it cannot remain excluded from developments that are taking place there.
- Its inclusion as party to the Indo-Pacific provides exactly that recognition.

 $n\$

How cooperation at sea should be?

 $n\n$

\n

- Cooperation at sea involves not just ships carrying out exercises over a couple of days, but understanding the whys and the wherefores.
- This can come about only if there is some degree of synergy of doctrines, and for this to happen **information sharing is important**. So, some compromise seems necessary.
- In the IOR, India has to become a net security provider and that will often require joint operations with the US.
- Also, **the Malabar series** is clearly an Indo-Pacific event and lends credibility to India's aspirations and potential.
- Meanwhile, these elements must be added the American clearance for the supply of nearly two dozen **Guardian drones** for the Indian Navy.
- The Indian Navy also has an enquiry ongoing for nearly five dozen fighter aircraft to operate from aircraft carriers at sea.
- \bullet Procurement of major military hardware must always be seen through the prism of geopolitical interests more than just financial cost. \n
- The dialogue just concluded gives India an opportunity to make the required course correction.

\n

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: Business Standard

\n

