

# India-U.S. 2+2 talks - Challenges Ahead

### What is the issue?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- The first round of the India-U.S. 2+2 talks is to be held soon in Delhi.
- $\bullet$  Overcoming the challenges in bilateral ties needs a creative thinking in the 2+2 dialogue.

\n

 $n\n$ 

## How significant is the dialogue?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- The 2+2 talks is a meet at the level of External Affairs and Defence Ministers of India and their U.S. counterparts.
- It is a significant development in the two-decade-old trend line of India-U.S. relations.

\n

- The trend line of the relations has not been all smooth.
- $\bullet$  However, there is a growing strategic engagement between the countries.  $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$

 $n\n$ 

# Why is the strategic convergence?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• Three factors have primarily contributed to the emerging strategic convergence.

• The <u>end of the Cold War</u> provided an opportunity to both countries to review their relationship.

۱'n

• The changing global and regional realities demanded new partnerships and strategies.

\n

• Also, the <u>opening of the Indian economy</u> offered scope for an enhanced relationship.

\n

- The American private sector began to look at India with greater interest.
- Eventually, trade grew and now stands at more than \$120 billion a year.
- It is moving towards an ambitious target of touching \$500 billion in five years.

\n

• There are foreign direct investments from both sides, reflecting a sustained mutual interest.

۱n

• The third factor is the political coming of age of the three-million-strong <u>Indian diaspora</u>.

\n

 $\bullet$  The Indian diaspora is making a strong presence and influence in the political and other platforms of the U.S.  $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$ 

 $n\n$ 

#### What are the irritants?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- The U.S. is used to dealing with allies and adversaries.
- The allies have invariably been junior partners in a U.S.-dominated alliance structure.

۱'n

• India is neither an ally nor an adversary, and is determined to safeguard its strategic autonomy.

- ullet So Indians become wary of the U.S.'s attempts to drive unequal bargains.
- On the other hand, Americans find the Indian approach rigid and superior.
- So developing the culture of talking to each other as equal partners is a

challenge.

 $n\n$ 

## How has the defence cooperation evolved?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• The strategic dialogue on nuclear issues shifted gears following 1998 nuclear tests by India and U.S.'s imposition of sanctions.

۱n

• The various rounds of talks during 1998-2000 were the most intense dialogue between the two countries.

\n

- It helped change perceptions leading to the gradual lifting of sanctions.
- Later, India-U.S. bilateral civil nuclear cooperation agreement was concluded in 2008.

۱n

• The defence dialogue began in 1995 with the setting up of the Defence Policy Group.

\n

• This was to develop exchanges between the defence services of the two countries.

۱n

• A decade later, this was formalised and enlarged into the India-U.S. Defence Framework Agreement.

\n

 $\bullet$  The agreement was renewed for 10 years in 2015.

\n

• Today, the U.S. is the country with which India undertakes the largest number of military exercises.

\n

• During the Cold War, more than three-fourths of India's defence equipment was of Soviet origin.

۱'n

• This has gradually begun to change in recent years, with U.S. and Israel emerging as major suppliers.

\n

• The recent periods saw an emphasis on technology sharing and joint development.

\n

• This has led to the Defence Technology and Trade Initiative (DTII).

- Many pathfinder projects have been identified under this banner.
- Efforts at relaxing the export control licensing and other bureaucratic hurdles were taken.

\n

- In 2016, India was designated as a 'Major Defence Partner' country.
- Another step forward is the inclusion of India in the <u>Strategic Trade</u> <u>Authorisation-1</u> (STA-1) category.
- This should enable the DTII to graduate to more ambitious projects.

 $n\$ 

## What are the obligations?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• Acquiring U.S. high technology comes with its own set of obligations for ensuring its security.

\n

 These take the form of various undertakings often described as foundational agreements.

\n

• The first of these was GSOMIA (General Security of Military Information Agreement) which India signed in 2002.

\n

• The other three related to

 $n\n$ 

\n

i. logistics support

\n

ii. communications compatibility and security

\n

iii. exchanges of geospatial information  $\n$ 

 $n\n$ 

- The U.S. proposed its standard logistics support agreement text in 2003.
- $\bullet$  It was finally concluded in 2016, and was made into an India-specific text.  $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$

- It facilitates logistics supplies during port visits and joint exercises.
- $\bullet$  Now, the India-specific Communications Compatibility and Security Agreement (COMCASA) is likely to be signed.  $\$
- It makes it possible to install high-end secure communication equipment on U.S. platforms that India has been acquiring.
- With the possibility of acquiring armed Sea Guardian drones, COMCASA was necessary to ensure optimal use.
- $\bullet$  The lessons learnt should help in expediting negotiations on the third (exchanges of geospatial information).  $\mbox{\sc ho}$

 $n\n$ 

### What lies ahead?

 $n\n$ 

\n

 $\bullet$  There are certain issues to be addressed and the 2+2 talks offer an opportunity for this.

\n

• **CAATSA** - Countering America's Adversaries Through Sanctions Act (CAATSA) was enacted last year.

\n

- It enables the U.S. government to sanction countries engaging in 'significant transactions' with Russian military and intelligence entities.
- $\bullet$  The proposed purchase of the S-400 missile defence system from Russia would attract CAATSA sanctions.
- A waiver provision to this has now been introduced to cover India, Indonesia and Vietnam.

- But it requires certification by the U.S. that the country concerned is gradually reducing its dependency on Russian equipment and cooperating with the U.S. on critical security issues.
- The Indian concerns in regards with this need to be addressed.
- Chabahar Iranian crude imports have grown significantly in recent years.  $\$
- India also stepped up its involvement in developing the Chabahar port.

\n

- The port provides connectivity to Afghanistan and Central Asia.
- But the U.S. imposition of sanctions on Iran after its unilateral <u>withdrawal</u> from the nuclear deal poses hurdles.
- $\bullet$  Given these, a creative thinking will be needed in the 2+2 dialogue to overcome the challenges.  $\mbox{\sc h}$
- $\bullet$  Both countries will have to nurture the habit of talking and working together.
- This is essential to realise the Joint Strategic Vision for the Asia-Pacific and Indian Ocean Region (2015).

 $n\n$ 

 $n\n$ 

**Source: The Hindu** 

