

India's Politicking in the Bangladeshi Liberation War

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

- India's active engagement in the 1971 Bangladesh liberation war achieved important self-serving strategic objectives.
- \bullet But the mission also successfully managed to portray a strong humanitarian angle to its cause. \n

 $n\n$

What were the mission's strategic calculations?

 $n\n$

\n

• Bangladeshi liberation militias were no match for the Pakistan army's military might and there was also enough political resistance against a clear separation.

\n

- While the Indian intervention was the key factor that tipped the balance, the intervention was lesser about compassion and more about real politicking.
- **Duel Front** The Bengali uprising provided India with an opportunity to break Pakistan, which will thereby prevent a duel front war in future.
- Notably, although the eastern front remained largely inactive during the 1965 war, it tied down substantial military resources, which constrained operations.
- It was estimated that while the newly formed country in the east might not remain an ally forever, it can never be as threatening as duel fronted Pakistan.

\n

- Future Diplomacy It was thought that if Bangladesh became independent without Indian help, future bilateral relationships might not be smooth.
- Notably, India had strongly encouraged the Bengali movement for autonomy through propaganda and secret financial support even before 1971.
- \bullet Hence, not intervening to stop the Pakistani military for decimating the assertive Bengali voices would've become a serious breach of trust. \n
- **Pro-Indian Polity** A non-interventionist civil war would've radicalised the Bengali population and sidelined the pro-India centrist Awami League Party.
- This could've lead to shifting the leadership of the movement to left-wing pro-China leftist parties such as National Awami Party and the Communist Party.

\n

• Also, protracted guerrilla warfare could've taken shape there, which had inherent security risks for India as Naxalism was rising in India too.

 $n\n$

How concerning was the plight of the Refugees?

 $n\n$

۱'n

- Over 10 million refugees had fled to states bordering Bangladesh in order to escape the unfolding violence, which indeed concerned India.
- But the concern was more economic rather than the humanitarian as refugees numbers were burgeoning had to be fed and accommodated.
- \bullet There were also ample avenues for a communal strife as migrating Hindus and Muslims saw the members of the opposite religion with suspicion. \n
- \bullet Ultimately, the campaign was brilliantly conceived and deftly executed and furthered India's strategic objectives while being seen as compassionate. \n

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu

