

Inequality may not mean Poverty

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

• Increasing inequality in recent years has become an issue of concern in several countries of the world.

۱n

- \bullet However, it need not necessarily mean that poverty is also increasing. $\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\sc h}}}$
- \bullet The trends in poverty reduction in India prove this point. $\mbox{\ensuremath{\backslash}} n$

 $n\n$

What is Gini coefficient?

 $n\n$

\n

• Gini coefficient measures inequality of a distribution (income or wealth) within nations or States.

۱n

- Its value varies anywhere from zero to 1.
- \bullet Zero indicates perfect equality, and 1 indicates perfect inequality. \n
- \bullet The poverty ratio is equally important as the Gini coefficient, in analysing issues relating to growth and distribution. $\mbox{\sc h}$

 $n\n$

How is the inequality trend?

 $n\n$

۱n

• Consumption expenditure is a measure of economic wellbeing and is thus

reflective of equality or inequality patterns.

\n

• The Gini coefficient of **consumption expenditure** for **rural areas** declined marginally between 1983-84 to 1993-94.

• But it recorded a marginal rise during the high growth period of 2004-05 and 2011-12.

\n

- In the case of **urban areas**, it stayed the same from 1983-84 to 1993-94, and increased modestly from 2004-05 to 2011-12.
- In general, inequality in rural areas declined.
- But **inequality** increased in **urban** areas in the post-reform period (2004-05 to 2011-12).

\n

• The trend is particularly more pronounced in the high growth period.

 $n\n$

What are the concerns with inequality measurements?

 $n\n$

۱n

• Consumption inequality - Income and wealth inequalities are much higher than consumption inequality.

۱'n

- The <u>consumption</u> Gini coefficient was 0.36 in 2011-12 in India.
- On the other hand, inequality in $\underline{\text{income}}$ was high with a Gini coefficient of $\underline{0.55}$.

\n

- Also, the <u>wealth</u> Gini coefficient was 0.74 in 2011-12.
- Thus, income Gini was about 20 points higher than consumption Gini.
- While the wealth Gini was nearly almost 40 points higher than consumption Gini.

\n

- **Data base** The data base for computing $\underline{income\ inequality}$ is not as solid as the base for consumption expenditure. \n
- Using <u>income tax data</u> for computing income distribution has many problems.

\n

- In India, only 3-5% of people come under the income tax net.
- How real do the data reflect the true picture of inequality is highly uncertain.
- The differences between consumption Gini coefficient and income Gini coefficient, etc prove this point.

 $n\$

What are the trends in poverty decline?

 $n\n$

\n

- \bullet Measure of poverty based on Consumer Expenditure data for the period 1983 to 2011-12 highlights a declining trend. \n
- **Pre-reform** In the pre-reform period (before 1991), overall poverty declined only marginally during 1983 to 1993-94.
- In fact, the number of persons below the poverty line stayed almost the same at 320 million during this period.
- **Post-reform** Poverty declined faster in the post-reform period.
- The decline was more evident in the 2004-2012 period as compared to 1993-2005.

\n

- \bullet 2004-2012 was the period of highest economic growth since Independence.
- This timeframe witnessed the fastest decline of poverty compared to earlier periods.

\n

 $n\n$

What do the trends in poverty suggest?

 $n\n$

\n

- \bullet Clearly the post-reform period recorded a considerable decline in poverty when compared to the pre-1991 period. $\$
- A World Bank study shows that among other things, urban growth was the most important contributor to this rapid decline.

\n

• The contribution is true even for poverty reduction in rural areas in the post-1991 period.

\n

• There is a concern that the Tendulkar cut-off line for determining poverty ratio is low and needs to be raised.

\n

 \bullet But even if the poverty cut-off is raised to 1.5 times the Tendulkar cut-off, the reduction in poverty ratio is evident. \n

 $n\n$

What does this imply?

 $n\n$

\n

• Generally, in the early period of economic growth, distribution of income tends to worsen.

\n

• Only after reaching a certain level of economic development, an improvement in the distribution of income occurs.

\n

• Undoubtedly, inequality in itself has several undesirable economic and social consequences.

\n

• But, even if the indicators on inequality remain the same, the poverty ratio can be declining.

\n

• Thus, measuring inequality is not the same as measuring the changes in the level of poverty.

\n

• This has been particularly true of India, where poverty has declined in spite of rise in inequality.

\n

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu

\n

