



Insolvency Law Committee's Directives

What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- The Insolvency Law Committee was appointed to look into contentious issues plaguing the NPA resolution process.
- The Committee has addressed many contentious issues, but some disagreements have been flagged by NCLT.

\n

\n\n

What are the differing views?

\n\n

\n

- Under the Insolvency & Bankruptcy Code (IBC) - Insolvency Law Committee was tasked to assess the operational and interpretational issues in the Code.
- Subsequently, conflicting rulings were given out by National Company Law Tribunal (NCLT) and the Law Committee on numerous appeals.
- NCLT through section 29 has restricted eligibility criterion for bidders to keep out errant and wilful defaulters from buying back stressed assets.
- But the law committee's recommendations to streamline 'Section 29A' and widen the pool of eligible bidders have been a majorly contested aspect.
- The law committee has now narrowed the list of debarred entities to only those closely related to defaulting promoters.
- Also, the committee has sought to enable "pure-play financial entities like asset reconstruction companies, alternate investment funds" for bidding.

\n

- Additionally, only a time bound 3-year restriction has been placed for bidders who've acquired an NPA, in order to not allow well intentioned buyers.

\n\n

What are the other significant rulings of the committee?

\n\n

- In most cases, the money given by home buyers as advance to the defaulting firms is much higher than the money lent by banks.
- The committee has hence recommending that home buyers be treated as financial creditors in order to grant them more say in the resolution process.
- Also, the approval threshold for a resolution plan has been reduced from 75% of the home buyers to 66%, which will thereby enhance speedy resolutions.
- The committee has also clarified that all assets of guarantors to the corporate debtor will be outside the scope of freeze.
- This will thwart promoter's efforts to delay recovery by lenders against their personal assets.

\n\n

What is the way ahead?

\n\n

- The tussle between operational and financial creditors warrants more attention.
- Poor recovery for operational creditors (money or good supplied in advance) can snowball into fresh NPA for banks from the SME space.
- Hence, like in the "Sick Industrial Companies Act", we can mandate the acquirer to issue a public notice inviting objections to the resolution plan.
- Also, Indian companies filing bankruptcy in the foreign destinations with nefarious intention needs to be plugged through a cross border insolvency

law.

\n

- While the Committee recognizes this, it has not laid down suggestions.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Business Line

\n



IAS PARLIAMENT

Information is Empowering

A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative