



Insolvency Proceedings against Bhushan Steel

What is the issue?

\n\n

- \n
- Insolvency and bankruptcy code (IBC 2016) has yielded its first success.
- \n
- But there are many issues that need to be sorted out.
- \n

\n\n

What is the Bhushan Steel Case about?

\n\n

- \n
- Bhushan Steel was one among the 12 major accounts referred to the “National Company Law Tribunal” (NCLT) at the behest of the RBI last year.
- \n
- These accounts were the biggest of the Non-Performing Assets (NPA’s) that was plaguing the various banks.
- \n
- Recently, Tata Steel recently acquired 73% stake in the bankrupt firm Bhushan Steel for about Rs. 35,000 crore.
- \n
- This was through a bankruptcy case under IBC, and hence this becomes the 1st major resolution under the act.
- \n
- The proceeds from the acquisition will be used to settle about two-third of the Rs. 56,000 crores that Bhushan Steel owes banks.
- \n

\n\n

What are the positives that can be driven from this case?

\n\n

\n

- While the Bhushan resolution is just 1 case that managed more than about 67% recovery, it is nevertheless an encouraging sign for banks.

\n

- Notably, before the launch of IBC, if assets get stressed, banks were typically able to recover just about 25% of their dues.

\n

- More significantly, between 2014-2017 bad loan recovery rate of public sector banks was just 11%, and about 2.4 lakh crores were simply written off.

\n

- More than 1 lakh crore is expected to be recovered in the near future through other cases referred by the RBI to the NCLT.

\n

- If the banks do indeed recover funds of this scale, it would reduce the burden on the government, as pressures for bank recapitalisation will ease.

\n

- Additionally, speedy resolution would also free valuable assets that can be employed for economic production.

\n

\n\n

What are the lingering concerns that need further pondering?

\n\n

\n

- IBC legislation has subsumed a plethora of laws that confused creditors and has streamlined the way to deal with troubled assets.

\n

- But issues such as the proposed eligibility criteria for bidders have left it bogged down and suppressed its capacity to help out creditors efficiently.

\n

- The Insolvency Law Committee has vouched for relaxation of 'bidder eligibility criteria' in order to enhance participation, which needs to be considered.

\n

- Also, strict time limit for resolution as mandated by IBC merits review in order to balance the objectives of speedy resolution and maximising asset recovery.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Hindu

\n



IAS PARLIAMENT
Information is Empowering
A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative