



## Internal Rift in Judiciary

### Why in news?

\n\n

Four senior judges of the Supreme Court held a press conference and publicly accused the Chief Justice of India for his biased decisions.

\n\n

### What is the convention?

\n\n

\n

- The Chief Justice is indeed the master of the roster, a well-settled law reflected in a Constitution Bench judgment in 1998.

\n

- The convention of the court demands that important cases of public interest or sensitive matters should be first heard by the CJI.

\n

- If the CJI is not willing for some reason to hear the case, it should be assigned to the next senior-most judge in the Supreme Court.

\n

- Instead of that, such cases were assigned to certain Benches and eventually given a quiet burial.

\n

\n\n

### What is the present allegation?

\n\n

\n

- The four senior-most judges after the CJI have alleged that the administration of the Supreme Court was not in order.

\n

- Certain Supreme Court judges arrogated to themselves the “authority to deal

with and pronounce upon” cases, over the past months.

\n

- They also alleged the CJI, Dipak Misra of misusing administrative powers to selectively assign cases to judges of his choice.

\n

\n\n

\n

- Notably, certain cases of far-reaching consequences to the nation have been assigned without any rational basis.

\n

- The senior judges now only question the 'how' and not the 'who' in regards with the administrative power of assigning the cases.

\n

\n\n

### How did the dissent erupt?

\n\n

\n

- **Judges Bribery Case** - The germ that led to the current conflict could be the controversial medical college bribery case. Click [here](#) to know more.

\n

- The case raised charges of judicial corruption and possible conflict of interest if Justice Misra were to hear it.

\n

- **Fake encounter case** - B.H. Loya was the CBI judge hearing the Sohrabuddin Sheikh's alleged fake encounter case.

\n

- The senior judges had held a meeting with the CJI expressing their reservations about assignation of a related petition to a particular Bench.

\n

- The petition was in regard with seeking an independent probe into the mysterious death of CBI judge Loya.

\n

- The ‘fake encounter’ case involves the BJP president Amit Shah who was an accused but later discharged.

\n

- The political sensitivity of the matter lead to doubts that judicial allocations could be influenced by external political hand.

\n

- **Internal efforts for redressal** - The senior judges have earlier collectively addressed their concerns to the CJI through a letter.

\n

- They have tried the procedural means to persuade the Chief Justice to take remedial measures.
- **Media** - Having exhausted of the internal options, the judges have now circulated the letter at the press meet and made it public.

### Is it a breach?

- The judges have transcended the judicial protocol that sitting judges should not interact with the media.
- However, this comes as an effort to protect the democracy and the independence of judiciary which are allegedly at stake.
- The internal rift poses the risk of diminishing the image of the judiciary and thus needs unconventional remedies.

### What is the way forward?

- The government must stay away from the internal conflict in the judiciary.
- However, it could disclose its position on the Memorandum of Procedure for judicial appointments and communicate it to the Supreme Court. (Click [here](#) to know more).
- The Chief Justice could convene a meeting of the full court and pay heed to the concerns to try internally resolving the conflict.
- The unprecedented internal dissension in judiciary is a moment for collective introspection for the nation on democratic institutions.

**Source: The Hindu**

\n



**IAS PARLIAMENT**

*Information is Empowering*

A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative