

# **Issues with NITI Aayog**

#### What is the issue?

\n\n

NITI Aayog has failed to achieve few of its commitments.

\n\n

### What is status of with NITI Aayog?

\n\n

∖n

- National Institution for Transforming India, or NITI Aayog, was created as an alternative to the Planning Commission in 2015.  $\nlambda$
- It was believed that it would be crisp and original in its ideas and prepare India to sustain growth, jobs and living standards.
- Two-and-a-half years later, it is found that it promises remains largely unfulfilled.
- \n
  So far, the NITI Aayog has not come up with very many exciting recommendations.

\n

\n\n

## What are the weak segments of NITI Aayog?

\n\n

∖n

- Disinvestment: The suggestions on privatisation of Air India, and on a larger disinvestment plan is less than compelling.
- Agriculture: Its suggestions on agriculture marketing reforms are no different from prescriptions of the past.

\n

- They do not shed any light on managing the transition from an old set of institutions to new ones.  $\gamma_n$
- **Trade technology:** It had less plans on services and manufacturing powerhouse in a rapidly changing world, where automation is rendering a host of existing skills redundant.
- Management: NITI Aayog was meant to be a lean, efficient alternative to the clumsy Planning Commission.
   \n
- It has options of funding sharing mechanisms, but has very less focus decision making with respective to cooperative federalism.  $\n$

\n\n

## What can be done?

\n\n

\n

 NITI Aayog needs to recognise that with paradigm shifts taking place with respect to globalisation, immigration, automation and financial sector policies.

\n

- It needs good management skills in handling the cooperative federalism.  $\ensuremath{\sc vn}$
- It should rope States into developing a coherent approach in sectors that lie in the latter's domain, such as agriculture, education and job creation.  $\n$

\n\n

\n\n

#### Source: Business Line

∖n

