



## Judges Bribery Case

### Why in news?

\n\n

\n

- Bribes were allegedly taken in the name of senior judges.
- Supreme Court has constituted a 3-judge bench to hear the same.

\n

\n\n

### How has the case opened up?

\n\n

\n

- CBI, in its FIR, lodged on September 19, has named several persons in this case of alleged corruption.
- Some people had taken bribes by using the names of senior judges for securing a favourable judgement in a case.
- Notably, in the corruption case, there are charges against the CJI Deepak Mishra too.
- When the matter came before a 2 judge bench, a 5 judge constitutional bench was recommended to hear it.
- However, a day later, a five-judge constitution bench headed by CJI had ruled that no judge can take up a matter on his own.
- It explicitly stated that the consent of the CJI is required before initiating suo-moto proceedings".
- This overturns the initial verdict and now, the matter is posted before a 3 judge bench,

\n

\n\n

## What are the implications?

\n\n

\n

- The happenings in the case are seen as a power tussle among senior judges.
- This undermines the high esteem that the judiciary enjoys and is worrisome amidst troubling allegations of corruption.

\n

\n\n

\n

- **Procedural negation** - The initial 2-judge bench had called for a 5 member constitutional bench on its own.
- In doing so, the principle that allocation of judicial work is the preserve of the Chief Justice has been ignored.
- **Conflict of Interest** - It would be irresponsible to attribute corrupt motives without compelling evidence.
- But due to the circumstances of the case, Chief Justice Mishra has given rise to speculations of mala-fide intentions.
- By sticking strictly to his primacy in allocation of judicial work, he has generated a potential 'conflict of interest' as his name too is involved in the case.
- **Choosing Judges** - The anti-corruption petitioners have questioned the bench's authenticity.
- This has led to the impression that they want to handpick judges to hear the case has been created, which shouldn't be encouraged.

\n

\n\n

## What is the way out?

\n\n

\n

- The only way out is for the judicial and legal fraternity is to ensure that the

CBI holds an impartial probe in the case.

\n

- The involvement of serving judges may only be a remote possibility.
- But it is vital to find out whether the suspected middlemen had any access to them.
- While, some may perceive the charges as an attempt to undermine the judiciary, the actual issue should not be side-stepped.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu, NDTV News**

\n



**IAS PARLIAMENT**

*Information is Empowering*

A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative