



# IAS PARLIAMENT

*Information is Empowering*  
A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative

## Kulbhushan Jadhav Case - Part II

[Click here](#) to read more.

\n\n

### Why in news?

\n\n

After a break of close to 18 years, India-Pakistan again found themselves in the International Court of Justice at The Hague.

\n\n

### What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- India claimed that Pakistan had breached its international law obligations by **refusing consular access to Kulbhushan Jadhav**, who has been sentenced to death on charges of espionage and terrorism.

\n

- Jadhav, an Indian national, was arrested by Pakistani officials in 2016.

\n

- India claims that Jadhav is a former naval officer, who was running a business in Iran from where he was kidnapped and shown to have been arrested in Baluchistan.

\n

- Pakistan alleges that Jadhav is a serving naval officer, who was tasked by the Indian intelligence agencies to destabilise Pakistan and was arrested in Baluchistan.

\n

\n\n

### What is status of the case?

\n\n

- \n
- India's applied in International Court of Justice, to prevent Pakistan from escalating the dispute by executing Jadhav.
- \n
- Pakistan has (and will continue to) strongly contested the jurisdiction of the Court to decide this case.
- \n
- In 2008, the two nations entered into a bilateral agreement on consular access, which modifies their obligations under the **Vienna Convention**.
- \n
- Unlike the Vienna Convention, this bilateral agreement does not provide for the submission of disputes to the International Court.
- \n
- The International Court need only be satisfied that it has a prima facie basis for jurisdiction to direct Pakistan not to execute Jadhav for the duration of the proceeding.
- \n

\n\n

### **What are the arguments of the nations?**

\n\n

- \n
- India could argue this provision of the bilateral agreement should be read narrowly.
- \n
- Even if the bilateral agreement allows countries to deny consular access in cases involving security, it surely cannot have been the intention that **they could simply label any case with the Spying and deny all consular access**.
- \n
- There are also indications that Pakistan will argue that its denial of consular access is linked with India's refusal to co-operate in the investigation.
- \n
- The foreign affairs minister of Pakistan claimed that India has similarly denied consular access to many Pakistani nationals over the years.
- \n
- If the Court accepts the argument, then it is left with little choice but to hold that a clause that permits a state to withhold consular access entirely would naturally also permit it to withhold such access conditionally.
- \n
- If the Court decides that the provisions of the Vienna Convention apply, then these arguments collapse spectacularly.
- \n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Indian Express**

\n



**IAS PARLIAMENT**

*Information is Empowering*

A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative