



Land Ownership - The Key to Upliftment

What is the issue?

\n\n

- \n
 - FSG - A social consultancy, recently published its research on urban housing in India.
- \n
 - It finds that 'land ownership' holds the key for millions to access associated social benefits.

\n\n

Why is Ownership the Key?

\n\n

- \n
 - An estimated additional 1.8 million people are homeless in India.
- \n
 - A quarter of India's urban population (37 million households) live in slums.
- \n
 - Many people in slums have little control over the property they live on - which usually is their only asset.
- \n
 - Therefore, a publicly recognition of ownership becomes necessary for access to many social benefits and formal sector loans.
- \n
 - Owning land boosts health profiles, educational outcomes and gender equality and the converse is equally true.
- \n
 - Hence, housing ought to rank higher than education and health in priority and is the first step for providing a decent life & livelihood.
- \n
 - A decent habitat for the poor will not only contribute towards their well-being but also catalyse overall social and economic growth.

\n

\n\n

What are the challenges for India?

\n\n

\n

- **Slums** - An estimated 58% of slum areas have open drainages, 43% transport water from outside, 34% have no public toilets.

\n

- There is an extensive need to repair existing housing stock and enhance essential services as presently they are seriously deficient.

\n

- **Growing Demand** - India is urbanising fast and around 38% of India (540 million people) will be urbanised by 2025.

\n

- Experts estimate that 18 million households in India are in dire need of better low-income housing.

\n

- All these, when paired with a shrinking supply of land and high construction costs - leads to a growing slum population.

\n

- **Relocation** - Several attempts to relocate slum dwellers to better housing have failed.

\n

- This is mainly because new sites are usually in the outskirts that hampers access of residents to employment, schools and other amenities.

\n

- Slum-dwellers hence favour upgradation of existing facilities and secure tenancy in-situ (in the same place).

\n

\n\n

What are the FSG Recommendations for Improved Housing?

\n\n

\n

- **Increasing Legal Supply** - Government should create an environment that increases the supply of affordable, legal shelters with tenure security.

\n

- **Slum upgradation** - Informal settlements that isn't a hinderance for the larger public need to be upgraded through public services.

\n

- Services such as roads, electricity, water supply and sanitation need to be

taken up.

\n

- Such actions are found to create a high level of perceived tenure security without a formal change of legal status.

\n

- This will also encouraged local private investments & locality improvisation activities.

\n

- **Ownership** - There is also a recommendation for providing basic ownership and property rights to traditional dwellers.

\n

- Recognition of ownership eliminates the fear of eviction and incentivises area improvisation by owners themselves.

\n

\n\n

What are the proposed Ownership Modules?

\n\n

\n

- Property rights has conventionally meant the right to use, develop and transfer property.

\n

- But FSG advocates a variation for regularised slum property.

\n

\n\n

\n

- It envisions Property rights for informal housing that guarantee the owner-occupant a heritable & mortgageable document only.

\n

- While securing the property for residential use, it denies the sale or rental rights to the owner.

\n

- Alternatively, giving property rights on time stipulated lease can be considered.

\n

- Also, certain restricted property transfer modules such as only between low-income groups can be considered.

\n

- Restrictions on ownership are mainly intended to prevent misuse and deter proliferation of slums in future.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Businessline

\n



IAS PARLIAMENT
Information is Empowering
A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative