

Law Commission on BCCI

Why in news?

\n\n

The Law Commission of India has recommended some changes with regard to BCCI.

\n\n

What are the recommendations?

\n\n

\n

- **Public body** Board of Control for Cricket in India (BCCI) should be declared a public body.
 - \n
- The cricket board, as an entity, is permitted by the state to represent the country at the international stage.
 - \n
- The ICC (International Cricket Council) recognises BCCI as the 'official' body representing India.
 - \n
- It exercises 'state-like' powers in the regulation of cricket.
- Given these, it should logically come under the definition of 'state.' $\slash n$
- RTI It should be brought under RTI Act's purview for scrutiny by any citizen.
- The board and all its member cricket associations should be brought under the Right to Information law regime. \n
- NSF The BCCI virtually acts as a National Sports Federation (NSF). n
- Thus, the Ministry of Youth Affairs & Sports website should explicitly mention BCCI in the list of NSFs.

∖n

• This would automatically bring it within the purview of the RTI Act.

\n

\n\n

What is the need?

\n\n

\n

• **Functions** - The BCCI is a private body that needs no financial help from the government.

∖n

- The SC also ruled in 2005 that the BCCI could not be termed an instrumentality of the 'State' under Article 12. \n
- However, subsequent developments have increasingly implied the public character of BCCI's functioning. \n
- **Rights** The board's monopolistic activities, directly and indirectly, affect the fundamental rights of stakeholders. n
- These include citizens, players, and other functionaries. $\ensuremath{\sc n}$
- A private citizen should be able to move the highest court against the BCCI for any violation of fundamental rights. $\gamman \ensuremath{\n}$
- Concerns The board is currently veiled from public scrutiny. $\ensuremath{\sc v}^n$
- It enjoys an environment of opacity and non-accountability. \slashn
- There are concerns of corruption and other forms of malpractices in the board.

∖n

- It affects the general public's opinion on one of the most popular sports played in India.
 - ∖n
- It has thus been felt for quite some time to make BCCI more transparent and accountable.

\n

\n\n

What is the way forward?

\n\n

∖n

• The central government should consider seriously the recommendation of the Law Commission.

\n

- The Supreme Court's intervention led to the constitution of the Justice R.M. Lodha Committee some time back.
- It recommended sweeping reforms in the board's structure and the rules governing its administration. \n
- Implementing these reforms at both national and State levels could impart greater transparency in BCCI's functioning. \n
- It could lead to an overhaul of cricket administration in India and restore faith in the board and the sport. $$\n$

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Hindu

∖n

