



# IAS PARLIAMENT

*Information is Empowering*  
A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative

## Leak of Aadhaar data

### Why in news?

\n\n

A reporter of The Tribune newspaper exposed breach in Aadhaar database.

\n\n

### What were the findings?

\n\n

\n

- The report had exposed that it got access through an "agent" to Aadhaar details of any individual submitted to the UIDAI.

\n

- The details included name, address, postal code (PIN), photo, phone number and email.

\n

- The report had also claimed that it took just Rs 500 and 10 minutes for the newspaper to get access to the above.

\n

\n\n

### How has UIDAI responded?

\n\n

\n

- The Unique Identification Authority of India (UIDAI) has filed an FIR against the journalist.

\n

- It has filed a criminal complaint naming the journalist of The Tribune and others.

\n

- It justified that criminal proceedings have been initiated for the act of unauthorised access.

\n

- Accordingly, FIR has been registered for violations of the sections of Aadhaar Act, 2016, the IT Act and under the IPC.  
\n
- UIDAI has responded that it was “duty bound” to place all facts before the police.  
\n
- So, names mentioned in the FIR are just details of the incident and does not necessarily mean they are culprits.  
\n
- UIDAI has also firmly denied that it was trying to gag the media or the whistle-blowers.  
\n

\n\n

### Why is the claim overstated?

\n\n

- It is important to stress that the encrypted Aadhaar biometric database has not been compromised.  
\n
- The UIDAI is correct in stating that mere information such as phone numbers and addresses cannot be misused without biometric data.  
\n
- Moreover these details are already available to telemarketers and others from other databases.  
\n
- The suggestion that the entire Aadhaar project has been compromised is therefore overstated and false.  
\n

\n\n

### What is the significance?

\n\n

- **Public interest** - Undercover investigations or sting operations occupy a complex and problematical ethical space in journalism.  
\n
- But it is impossible to fault The Tribune's recent unveiling of database breach.  
\n
- UIDAI's criminal complaint is thus criticised for suppressing a journalist whose investigation reports was of great public interest.

\n

- **Data protection** - The issue has drawn attention to the existence of an organised racket to facilitate unauthorised access to data.

\n

- The UIDAI expose has again highlighted the long felt need for a robust data protection law.

\n

- **Freedom** - Accusing The Tribune and the reporter would constitute a direct attack on free public-spirited journalism.

\n

- It would also dissuade attempts to hold public authorities and institutions accountable for shortcomings and promises.

\n

\n\n

### **What should be done?**

\n\n

\n

- It is obligatory for those who collect information to see that it is secure and not used for purposes other than that meant for.

\n

- This applies to both the government and private players such as a mobile company.

\n

- India does not have a legal definition of what constitutes personal information.

\n

- It also lacks a robust and comprehensive data protection law.

\n

- Having accorded privacy the status of fundamental right, it is logical to bring in place the above two legal specifications.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n



# IAS PARLIAMENT

*Information is Empowering*

A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative