

## **Legality of Aadhaar**

## Why in news?

\n\n

Supreme Court in its recent judgement has effectively held that policy goals override rights in the Aadhaar – PAN case.

\n\n

## What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- Binoy Viswam v. Union of India case in the SC deals with the dispute over Section 139AA of the Income Tax Act, 1961.  $\nline{$
- Central government made it obligatory on individuals filing income tax returns to link their permanent account numbers (PAN) to their Aadhaar which was termed unconstitutional as it infringed a number of fundamental rights.
  - \n
- The petitioners argued that Section 139AA violated the rights to equality, to practise any profession, and to personal liberty.  $\ln$
- The court rejected the contention that the Income Tax Act cannot make Aadhaar compulsory when the core legislation, the Aadhaar (Targeted Delivery of Financial & Other Subsidies, Benefits & Services) Act, 2016, makes enrolment in the scheme voluntary.
- The court accepted the state's arguments that the linking of Aadhaar and PAN can help eradicate the ills of tax evasion caused by a proliferation of black money.

\n

• The petitioners highlighted that both biometric details and iris scans can

be forged. n

\n\n

\n\n

## Source: The Hindu

∖n

