

# **Legality of GST Cess**

### What is the issue?

\n\n

∖n

- The legal permissibility of levying a cess over and above GST has been a source of constant debate, for some time now.
- In this context, it is imperative to assess the implications of the recent Supreme Court judgement in this regard.

\n\n

# What are the recent developments in this regard?

\n\n

\n

- At present, no other cess is imposed over GST.  $\slashn$
- But a Group of Ministers has recently been constituted to examine the feasibility and legality of levying such a cess.
- It is intended to compensate Kerala for the loss caused due to the recent floods.

∖n

• The Supreme Court has also upheld the constitutional validity of GST Compensation Cess.

\n

- It observed that the Centre has the power to levy such a cess.  $\gamman n$ 

\n\n

# What is SC's rationale?

\n\n

\n

• While declaring the levy of compensation cess to be legally permissible, the SC relied on multiple provisions.

\n D

- Reference was made to Article 270 of the Constitution that deals with distribution of taxes between the Centre and states.  $\n$
- The SC also held that the Centre's power to tax goods and services under Article 246A of the Constitution is a specific power that has a wide import.  $\n$
- From a combined reading of these two provisions, the Court concluded that the Centre is empowered to levy a cess on supply of goods and services.  $\n$
- Besides, Constitution (Hundred and First Amendment) Act, 2016 requires the Centre to compensate states on account of loss due to GST introduction.  $\n$
- This provision was also considered by the Supreme Court. h
- The court clarified that the amendment was enacted to subsume various cesses.

∖n

- However, there was no bar imposed on the fresh levy of cesses over and above the GST.  $\n$ 

\n\n

# What are the concerns?

\n\n

∖n

• The reasoning adopted by the court and interpretation of various Constitutional provisions raise many concerns.

\n

- **Purpose** The observations of the Court were with respect to the compensation cess.
  - \n
- But the reasoning could lead to the conclusion that any cess can now be levied on goods and services supply by exercising powers under Art 246A read with Art 270.

∖n

- Such an interpretation of the judgment is likely to give scope for numerous similar levies in the future.  $\n$
- This may undermine the coherence and uniformity aimed through GST.

∖n

• Limitations - The Court has not stated that the power to levy cess is restricted to only specific circumstances.

\n

- There is also no similar restriction in the text of Article 246A.  $\ensuremath{\sc n}$
- Thus, it seems like the Centre and states have complete freedom to levy cess over and above GST.

∖n

- They may not even need prior approval of the GST Council. h
- Implication This could likely contradict with the spirit of the GST framework based on a 'one nation one tax' policy.  $\n$
- It may revert the economy back to the regime where multiple levies and consequent cascading were prevalent.  $\gamman$
- Given these, the debate surrounding the legality of cess over GST is far from over and needs a reassessment.  $$\n$

\n\n

\n\n

### **Source: Financial Express**

∖n

