

# **Lessons from Bavarian elections**

#### Why in news?

\n\n

The election results of Bavaria in Germany raises afresh concerns about the surge of nationalism in the West.

\n\n

### What does the results show?

\n\n

\n

- Bavaria is a state in south eastern Germany bordering Liechtenstein, Austria and the Czech Republic.
- It went to the polls on Sunday to elect their new state parliament for the next five years.

∖n

• The ruling conservative Christian Social Union (CSU) is the Bavarian sister party to German Chancellor Angela Merkel's Christian Democrat Union (CDU).

∖n

- It has lost its majority in the 180-seat local parliament for the first time since the end of World War II.
  - \n
- The CSU's majority shrank to 37.2% of the vote from 47.7% in 2013, which translated into 85 seats (from 101 in 2013).  $\n$
- It has also lost some of it to fringe parties such as the pro-Nazi Party Alternative for Germany (AfD), which has an anti-immigration stance.  $\n$
- The other big loser in the largest of the German states was the Social Democrats, or SDP, a member of Ms Merkel's federal "grand coalition", which won only 22 seats against 42 in 2013, putting it on a par with the AfD.  $\n$

# What does it imply?

\n\n

∖n

- The right-wing nationalist parties are gaining ground around Europe, where they get between 10 and 29% vote shares in every election.  $\n$
- The results of Bavaria's elections also echo a relative decline in the power of the German chancellor.

\n

- It also reflects the growing centrifugal forces that assail the European Union (EU), arguably the most successful political project in the post-war world.  $\n$
- The waning popularity of her leadership and that of her party are linked to the refugee crisis from Syria, Yemen, Libya and Iraq.  $\n$
- Both the EU and non-EU countries opened their borders with varying degrees of reluctance, with the Germany welcoming over one million refugees in 2015.

∖n

• Bavaria received the lion's share of this influx, and it continues to receive the refugees.

\n

- But with the further influx and the fragile economic growth and high unemployment, xenophobia inevitably raised its head in the region.  $\n$
- Ms Merkel's failure to deal with the outbreak of crime by a section of refugees has weakened her cause immeasurably.  $\n$
- EU leaders introduced <u>compulsory quotas</u> in 2015 at the height of the migration crisis, as thousands of people arrived daily on Europe's shores, many of whom were refugees from Syria, Iraq and Eritrea.  $\n$
- Hungary, Slovakia, Romania and the Czech Republic voted against the move, but the policy was forced through by a majority vote.  $\n$
- Hence talks were on to abandon the policy altogether which has created bitter splits across the continent.  $\n$
- Also, <u>higher spending</u> on schemes aimed at keeping refugees and would-be migrants outside Europe could have created concerns among the people regarding the pro-immigration stance of Germany.

\n\n

∖n

- Hence, the rise of AfD shows that demands on tighter immigration controls from the government are rising and the fuelling of nationalist stance has been witnessed in a country that was praised globally for its open refugee policy three years back.
  - \n
- But a lack of decisive victory for the parties holding anti-immigration stance shows that an unapologetically pro-immigrant and pro-European party can still succeed in European politics.

\n\n

\n\n

\n\n

### Source: Business Standard

\n\n

∖n

