

# **Looking into Army Excesses**

#### What is the issue?

 $n\n$ 

Instances of excesses committed by the security forces call for a fair probe and judicial redressal.

 $n\n$ 

### What is the case in Manipur?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- $\bullet$  The Extra-Judicial Execution Victim Families Association (EEVFAM), an NGO, documented over 1,500 murders by the armed forces. \n
- The court is hearing a PIL petition seeking a probe into these extra-judicial killings in Manipur from 2000 to 2012.
- Fake encounters are alleged to have been executed by the Army, the Assam Rifles and the police in Manipur.
- The Supreme Court has earlier directed a time-bound probe by the CBI into over 80 such deaths.
- $\bullet$  The CBI's SIT recently appraised the Court that 42 cases had been registered on such killings.  $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$
- $\bullet$  Following this, the Court expressed its dissatisfaction with the progress made by the SIT in the case.  $\mbox{\sc h}$
- Following SC's reprimand, the CBI has registered 11 more fresh FIRs related to suspected extra-judicial killings in Manipur.

#### What is the recent case in Kashmir?

 $n\$ 

\n

- Two civilians were killed allegedly when Army personnel fired at a stone-pelting mob in Ganovpora village in Shopian, J&K.
- The Chief Minister ordered an inquiry into the incident.
- An FIR was filed against Major Aditya Kumar in connection with the killing.
- **Petition** A petition was filed before the Supreme Court seeking the quashing of the FIR.

\n

• The petition also sought guidelines to protect soldiers' rights and payment of adequate compensation for them.

\n

- This is to ensure that no Army personnel was harassed by criminal proceedings for bona fide actions in exercise of their duties.
- **Court** The Supreme Court subsequently issued notice to Jammu and Kashmir government and Centre.

\n

- The court sought their responses within two weeks.
- Importantly, it directed that "**no coercive action** shall be taken" against Major Kumar till then.

\n

• **Pellet guns** - Using pellet guns is another worrying issue in Jammu and Kashmir.

\n

- The Kashmiris do not want police using the pellet-firing, 12-gauge shotgun that the CRPF uses against them.
- Pellet-firing has notably torn out the eyes of over 1,000 Kashmiris, including children and bystanders.

 $n\n$ 

# What do these cases imply?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• These incidences are perceived as excesses by the armed forces.

\n

• **Kashmir** - It is clearly not possible for the Jammu and Kashmir government to coerce the Indian army.

۱n

• The Kashmir chief minister does not even have control over the state's policing force.

۱n

• The CRPF that operates in Kashmir reports directly to the Union Home Minister.

\n

• **AFSPA** - The existence of controversial AFSPA is another reason behind the excesses.

\n

- AFSPA confers special powers to the armed personnel including unwarranted arrest and search in disturbed areas.
- There are various reports and evidences making allegations of constant rights violations using AFSPA.

 $n\$ 

### How is the legal response?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• Cases - Charge sheets have been filed against the soldiers in Kashmir before as well.

\n

- A total of 50 cases have been received by the Union Government from the Government of Jammu and Kashmir.
  - ∖II Thio
- This is for getting Prosecution Sanction against Armed Forces personnel under AFSPA, 1990.

\n

• The cases, going back to 2001, include rapes, murder, kidnap, and torture by the armed personnel.

\n

• Notably, no case had received sanction from the union government for prosecution.

\n

- In 47 of the cases, permission is "denied" and in another three of the cases, the earliest from 2006, permission is "pending".
- Army The army claims to be delivering justice under its martial courts.

\n

- $\bullet$  However, the opaque working of martial courts leaves scope for scepticism.  $\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\sc h}}}$
- **Court** The Supreme Court seems to be protective when it comes to soldiers in Kashmir.

\n

- $\bullet$  However, its active response in prosecuting those involved in extra judicial killings in Manipur signals a change in response. \n
- $\bullet$  What makes for the double standards need to be inquired into.
- The army meant for protecting the civilians should be cleansed of its criminal behaviour with fair justice system.

 $n\n$ 

 $n\n$ 

**Source: Business Standard, Live Law** 

\n

