



IAS PARLIAMENT

Information is Empowering
A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative

More Improvements needed to the IGP

What is the issue?

\n\n

The revamped Innovators Growth Platform (IGP) set up by the SEBI to support start-ups and investors can broaden its mandate apart from facilitating easier exit.

\n\n

Why was the ITP revamped to IGP?

\n\n

\n

- The Institutional Trading Platform (ITP) started in 2013 helped investors in start-ups divest their holdings without making a public offer.

\n

- The profitability and other disclosure requirements are less stringent compared to the SME platform or the main board of exchanges.

\n

- In the five years since its launch, only a handful of companies have listed on the ITP of both the BSE and the NSE.

\n

- The primary factor hampering growth of this platform appears to be its narrow mandate.

\n

- Following a review in 2018, the rules governing ITP were tweaked in December.

\n

- The Indian regulator has shown salutary initiative in launching the Innovative Growth Platform (IGP), with the widened participant base and some of the difficulties faced by companies ironed out.

\n

- While the ITP was launched with the sole intention of providing exits to Qualified Institutional Borrowers (QIBs) such as angel investors and venture

capital funds.

\n

- The regulator is now conceding that start-ups can be funded by other investors too besides QIBs such as family trusts.

\n

- The regulator is also willing to accept start-ups funded by individuals or other companies, by forming a new category of pre-listing investor accredited investors.

\n

- SEBI has now laid down that exiting investors should have held stake in the company for two years prior to the listing.

\n

- This ensures that investors do not use the IGP platform to make quick exits from their investments.

\n

- The ICDR (issue of capital and disclosure requirements) regulations which lay down that no individual or collective group, could hold more than 25 per cent of the post-issue capital, has now been removed.

\n

\n\n

What improvements can be done further in the IGP?

\n\n

\n

- **Funding growth** - Besides providing exit to existing investors, the IGP can become an avenue for funding future growth of start-ups.

\n

- If a robust ecosystem is created on the IGP where investors of all hues (foreign, domestic, retail, HNI and institutions) participate actively, this investor base can be tapped on a regular basis by start-ups through public issues.

\n

- Besides providing greater visibility, it will lower their dependence on Private Equity and Venture Capital.

\n

- **Investments for retail** - IGP can showcase eligible start-ups in which investors can park some money.

\n

- Purchasing stakes in new businesses through an exchange platform may be a better alternative compared to buying through other avenues, as basic information is made available on the exchange website and periodic disclosures are mandatory.

\n

- In this regard, the recent move to reduce the trading and application size on the IGP to Rs. 2 lakh, down from Rs. 10 lakh earlier, can turn out to be a game-changer.

\n

- However care also needs to be taken to protect the more vulnerable investors from this platform, since many of these start-ups are yet to break even or turn profitable, they do carry greater risk.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Business Line

\n\n

\n\n

\n



IAS PARLIAMENT

Information is Empowering

A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative