

MSP for Minor Forest Produce

Why in news?

\n\n

Union Cabinet recently approved a centrally sponsored scheme for providing Minimum Support Price (MSP) to forest dwellers for minor forest produce (MFP).

\n\n

What is the decision?

\n\n

\n

- The Centre has notified a varied \underline{hike} in MSP ranging from 200% to 5.6% for 19 MFPs.
 - ∖n
- The government has also added 17 more items (exiting 23) to the forest produce covered under the market support scheme.
- \bullet [These include mahua flowers, dried tejpatta, jamun dried seeds, dried amla pulp (deseeded), soap nut (dried), Arjuna bark and Giloe among others.] \n
- A total of 52 items is proposed to be brought under the MFP for MSP umbrella.
 - ∖n
- The notification puts out <u>prices</u> for 40 items for now. n
- The Central government plans to spend around Rs. 960 crore while states would contribute about Rs. 250 crore.
- The ministry of tribal affairs (MoTA) issued the notification and it is now for the states to implement this. \n
- Tribal Cooperative Market Development Federation of India Ltd. (TRIFED) has also been given directions.

- They are to develop market linkage between state agencies (through State Nodal Department), and bulk users and buyers to enable implementation. \n

\n\n

What is the objective?

\n\n

\n

- The scheme for providing MSP for minor forest produce comes on the lines of support price for agricultural products. \n
- The decision is being taken in view of the general cost escalation on all fronts.

\n

- The objective is to ensure fair and remunerative price to MFP gatherers. $\ensuremath{\sc n}$
- According to the ministry, nearly 5 crore tribals are expected to directly benefit from this revamped scheme.

\n

\n\n

What are the concerns?

\n\n

\n

Funds - MSP system for minor forest produce had been introduced by the previous government as well.

\n\n

\n

 \bullet The centre has earmarked nearly Rs 1,100 crore for this programme in the past 5 years.

\n

- But hardly 25% of this has been released to the states.
- The bulk of even the disbursed funds has remained unutilised. \slashn
- Moreover, none of the major forested states has submitted the audited report on funds utilisation. $\space{1.5mm}\space$
- **Remuneration** The minor forest resources have been made freely accessible to forest-dwellers under the Forest Rights Act.

\n

- These include mahua, tejpatta, wild honey and similar others that have several industrial, therapeutic and cosmetic uses. \n
- However, the tribals, who gather them from the woods, do not get the fair remuneration for these articles.
 - ∖n
- It's because they normally have to sell them at meagre rates at local haats dominated by cartelised traders and contractors. \n
- Middlemen Some state governments have acquired monopolistic marketing rights on the much sought-after forest products.
 \n
- E.g. tendu leaves, bamboo, tamarind $\normalized{\n$
- But, the state agencies nominated to lend price support often prefer to buy the stuff from middlemen.

\n

• They fail to create the infrastructure for procuring it directly from individual collectors.

\n

- Thus, for all practical purposes, the collectors of the minor forest produce are at the mercy of middlemen. \n
- MSP States also do not pay the MSPs even though the Centre is supposed to bear 75% of the losses incurred on such operations.
- Odisha is one of the few states which have opted to implement the MSP scheme for selected forest products. \n
- But it is reported to be considering to discontinue the scheme because of the heavy financial burden.

\n

\n\n

What is required?

\n\n

\n

- The government has largely failed to realise the futility of raising the MSPs of crops without their effective enforcement. \n
- The need, therefore, is for well-advised marketing reforms in this sector. $\ensuremath{\sc n}$

- This should be aimed specifically at ending the middlemen's role over the minor forest produce trade. \n
- Equally important is to encourage direct linkages between forest produce gatherers and end-users of these products. \n
- \bullet E.g. the pharmaceutical, cosmetic and food-processing industries \n
- These are essential to meaningfully complement the move to fix MSPs for the minor forest produce. \n

Source: Times of India, Business Standard

∖n

\n\n

