

# National Institutional Ranking Framework, 2018

## Why in news?

 $n\n$ 

Ministry of Human Resource Development recently released the National Institutional Ranking Framework (NIRF) 2018.

 $n\n$ 

#### What is NIRF?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• The National Institutional Ranking Framework (NIRF) was approved by the MHRD and launched in 2015.

\n

• The framework outlines a methodology to rank institutions across the country.

\n

• The parameters broadly cover -

 $n\n$ 

۱n

1. Teaching, Learning and Resources

2. Research and Professional Practices

۱n

3. Graduation Outcomes

\n

4. Outreach and Inclusivity

\n

5. Perception

\n

 $n\n$ 

\n

• There were a total of 9 categories this year under which India's higher education institutions were judged.

۱n

• The 2018 exercise newly added the disciplines of law, medicine and architecture.

\n

 $n\n$ 

## What are the latest rankings?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• The ranking of most colleges and universities have remained almost the same this year.

\n

• Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bengaluru, is again ranked first in both overall and university categories.

۱n

• The Indian Institutes of Technology (IITs) are the best among engineering institutes.

\n

• The Indian Institutes of Management (IIMs) are the best among management institutes.

\n

• This is for the third time in row on the NIRF ranking that IITs and IIMs are leading.

\n

• IIT-Madras was adjudged the best engineering institute.

\n

• IIM-Ahmedabad was adjudged the best management institute.

\n

• The All India Institute of Medical Sciences was adjudged the top medical college.

\n

• There were some institutes, which could not be placed in any of the 9 categories.

۱n

• These have been placed in special category because of the quality of research work.

\n

• Some of them include the National Dairy Research Institute, Karnal, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, etc.

\n

### What are the concerns?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- Participation India has around 860 universities, 40,000 colleges and 11,600 standalone institutions of higher education.  $\$
- Of these, only around 4,500 institutes took part in the India Rankings 2018.
- Even among the institutions that participated, there is a clear skew towards southern, south-eastern and western India.
- $\bullet$  The government is planning to cut some sort of funding to those which do not participate in the rankings.  $\mbox{\sc h}$
- **Parity** Older institutions with historical advantages now enjoy a higher ranking.

\n

- This obscures newer entrants who may have stronger claims to excellence.
- **Approach** The ranking approach worldwide is critiqued for failing to capture the crucial metric of learning outcomes.
- $\bullet$  The rankings instead rely on proxy data on faculty strength and qualifications.  $\ensuremath{^{\text{h}}}$

 $n\n$ 

# What is the way forward?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- **Funding** The governing bodies should make available adequate financial and academic resources to colleges.
- This is particularly essential for the developing colleges, to help them improve performance.
- **Participation** Ranking educational and research institutes has some significant practical uses.
- It helps students make study choices, sponsors to identify research projects,

and other universities to form partnerships.

- $\bullet$  Thus, for a reliable and relevant process, all recognised educational institutions should be enrolled, and not just the public ones. \n
- **Objective** Beyond competitive ranking, the higher order goal is to foster learning and scholarship.
- Encouraging faculty to exercise complete academic freedom is essential to achieve this.
- $\bullet$  The NIRF ranking thus needs a fine tuning to incorporate these aspects.  $\ensuremath{^{\backslash n}}$

 $n\n$ 

 $n\n$ 

**Source: Business Standard, The Hindu** 

\n

