

# National Register of Citizens for Tripura

#### Why in news?

\n\n

The Supreme Court recently tagged the Tripura NRC (National Register of Citizens) plea with Assam NRC case.

\n\n

#### What is the case on?

\n\n

\n

- It relates to a public interest petition filed by the Tripura People's Front and some others.
  - ∖n
- The petition asked the Supreme Court to direct the authorities to update the NRC with respect to Tripura.
  - \n
- This is in terms of The Citizenship (Registration of Citizens and Issue of National Identity Cards) Rules, 2003.  $\n$
- The court has directed the court registry to tag the petition along with petitions in the <u>Assam NRC case</u>.
- So it would now be heard by a Special Bench monitoring the Assam NRC case.

\n

- The Supreme Court issued a notice to the centre and the Election Commission of India in regards with the plea.  $\n$ 

.

\n\n

×

\n\n

## What is the rationale?

\n\n

\n

- The purpose is to detect and deport the "illegal immigrants" from Bangladesh, as is being done in Assam.
- Much of the migration into Tripura occurred before the creation of Bangladesh.
  - ∖n
- Uncontrolled influx of illegal migrants has caused huge demographic changes in Tripura.
  - \n
- Indigenous people who were once the majority have now become a minority in their own land.

\n

• Resultantly, Tripura, a predominantly tribal State, has now become a non-tribal State.

∖n

• The presence of illegal immigrants violates the political rights of the citizens of Tripura.

\n

- The petition noted that the "influx" of illegal immigrants amounted to 'external aggression' under Art 355 of the Constitution.  $\n$
- Given this, the Union is bound to protect the State of Tripura from this.  $\n$

\n\n

- ∖n
- Also, the 1993 tripartite accord signed by the Government of India with the All Tripura Tribal Force was cited.  $\n$
- $\bullet$  It asked for the repatriation of all Bangladeshi nationals who  $\n$

\n\n

∖n

- i. had come to Tripura after March 25, 1971 (and)
  - ∖n
- ii. are not in possession of valid documents authorising their presence in the State

\n

∖n

• The petitioners went further and demanded the cut-off date to be July 19, 1948, as provided for in Article 6 of the Constitution, dealing with citizenship rights.

\n

\n\n

#### How has Tripura been in the recent decades?

\n\n

∖n

- After years of struggle, in 1979, the tribal people of the State had gained special autonomy provisions -  $\n$ 

\n\n

∖n

- i. the institution of the Tripura Tribal Areas Autonomous District Council  $\n$
- ii. recognition of their spoken language and other assurances  $\n$

\n\n

∖n

• Since then, the council has been empowered and the tribal rights have been ensured protection.

\n

• These have steadily eroded the tribal versus non-tribal differences that once existed in the State.

∖n

 Resultantly, over the last three decades, multiple insurgent groups have also ended violent struggles.

∖n

\n\n

## What is the concern now?

\n\n

∖n

- The judicial-bureaucratic process in deportation of long-settled migrants is much as already being faced in Assam.  $\n$
- Here, the fate of the four million people whose names did not figure in the final NRC draft for Assam remains uncertain.

∖n

\n\n

∖n

- So any bureaucratic exercise without considering its deep humanitarian impact will only create new fault lines.
- This is especially the case in a State like Tripura where there is no such unanimity of views on the NRC process.  $\n$
- So deportation may likely undo the years of work to bring about reconciliation between Bengali-speaking and tribal people.
- The Supreme Court should take this into consideration while hearing the petition.

∖n

\n\n

\n\n

## Source: The Hindu

\n\n

\n\n

\n\n

\n\n

\n\n

∖n





A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative