

National Small Savings Fund for Air India

Why in news?

\n\n

Funds with the National Small Savings Fund (NSSF) will now be used to help the struggling state-owned airline, Air India.

\n\n

What is the decision?

\n\n

\n

- Reportedly, an estimated Rs 10 billion is to be allocated to the airline. $\space{\space{1.5}n}$
- Air India recently failed to pay salaries and also missed payments to various creditors.

\n

• These include oil companies, aircraft leasing agencies and mechanical contractors.

∖n

- It already has a debt of over Rs 500 billion and the government's efforts to privatise it have not materialised. \n

\n\n

What is the government's rationale?

\n\n

∖n

• The government wants to keep the liabilities like funding an ailing airline off the Budget balance sheet.

\n

• It is also focussed at meeting the fiscal deficit target.

\n

• Recently, the government also permitted the NSSF to start lending to central

agencies in addition to Air India.

∖n

• E.g. the Food Corporation of India and the National Highways Authority of India

\n

- For the current financial year, the NSSF plans to invest Rs 1.3 trillion in these and other agencies. γ_n
- Notably, these are areas that would otherwise have required budgetary support.

\n

- In other words, instead of the government directly lending to these agencies, it will have the NSSF directly lend to them. \n
- The impact on the overall public sector balance sheet will in effect be the same but the fiscal deficit will appear smaller. \n

\n\n

Is it justifiable?

\n\n

\n

• The pool of small savings being used to finance a struggling airline's working capital raises some concerns.

\n

\n\n

∖n

• Certainly, this is not tax revenue and the government is just the custodian of this money.

\n

• The government thus has the duty to ensure that this money is invested safely and wisely.

\n

- So the decision largely appears to be an irresponsible use of funds. $\ensuremath{\sc n}$
- Even the fiscal deficit target would only be met in name. $\slash n$
- It's because the government would still be spending more in excess of its revenue than it had targeted. \n
- The effect on private sector borrowing would largely be the same as additional crowding out would occur.

\n\n

What are the concerns?

\n\n

\n

• The government seeks to meet its disinvestment target through buyback of shares by public sector undertakings (PSUs).

\n

• There is also a suggestion that the RBI reserves be tapped for government expenditure.

\n

- These make it clear that the government is relying heavily on sources other than taxes to fund its spending. \n
- This is problematic for two reasons: \n

\n\n

\n

1. it is often a less productive use of the funds in question and involves a violation of fiduciary duties

\n

2. using such off-balance sheet methods undermines the effort towards fiscal consolidation \n

\n\n

\n

• The finance ministry must thus reconsider its approach towards managing the financial resources and meeting the targets. \n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Business Standard

\n





A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative