



## Need for Institutional reform - Facebook

### What is the issue?

\n\n

Individual users' privacy cannot be safeguarded on platforms such as Facebook without institutional reform.

\n\n

### What is the recent criticism made against Facebook?

\n\n

\n

- The New York Times recently documented that Facebook had granted its business partners, including Microsoft and Amazon, more intrusive access to user data than it had divulged.

\n

- Some deals permitted access even to private chats, allowing data access without users' permission.

\n

- These Business partners were given more intrusive access to user data than Facebook has ever disclosed.

\n

- In turn, the deals helped Facebook bring in new users, encourage them to use the social network more often, and drive up advertising revenue.

\n

\n\n

### What were the larger concerns made earlier?

\n\n

\n

- **Free Basics issue** - Free Basics is an open platform launched by the Facebook that allows free access to certain websites and internet services to those users who cannot afford internet access.

\n

- However, free access is limited to selected partner websites and applications.

\n

- Facebook says that Free Basics was launched with a philanthropic motive to provide free digital access to poorer sections of the society in India.

\n

- However, this deal was opposed on grounds of net neutrality by those who recognised that Facebook would become a gatekeeper to the Internet.

\n

- Facebook was also not clearly stating how it would use the personal data of users on the Free Basics platform.

\n

- Subsequently, the telecom regulator imposed ban on Free Basics in India.

\n

- **WhatsApp acquisition issue** - Facebook changed its privacy policy after acquiring WhatsApp, with effect from September 2016.

\n

- The change allowed sharing a user's metadata between WhatsApp and Facebook, without clearly explaining what was being shared and how it was being used.

\n

- These changes to the terms of service were challenged in a public interest petition in the Delhi High Court.

\n

- However, the HC dismissed this legal challenge, since the fundamental right to privacy was not upheld as a fundamental right at that point in time.

\n

\n\n

\n

- The judgement was appealed against in the Supreme Court and subsequently the SC announced that a Constitution Bench would be constituted in this regard.

\n

- The government submitted that it had constituted a data protection committee headed by B.N. Srikrishna, on the same issue.

\n

- This has created delay in the hearing and the WhatsApp-Facebook case is still pending in the Supreme Court.

\n

- **Cambridge Analytica issue** - Cambridge Analytica, a data analytics company, managed to harvest data from Facebook users.

\n

- This was used to build psychological profiles of more than 50 million individuals.  
\n
- A whistle-blower has uncovered it all, highlighting the commercial nexus between Analytica and US politicians.  
\n
- This was particularly aimed at influencing their voting preferences and the outcome of elections.  
\n
- A company called Global Science Research (GSR) used a personality App with the permission of Facebook, for supposedly academic research purposes.  
\n
- With the help of this, a psychology lecturer at Cambridge University managed to harvest data.  
\n
- Data of millions of FB subscribers who used the personality App was sold for presidential campaign.  
\n
- FB admitted that though GSR gained access in a legitimate manner, it allegedly violated the rules of agreement.  
\n
- A Cambridge Analytica whistle-blower also pointed suspicion to the Indian elections by the Indian National Congress.  
\n
- The matter was referred to the Central Bureau of Investigation, which launched a preliminary investigation in September 2018.  
\n
- Till date, there is little public information on movement in this investigation.  
\n

\n\n

## **What should be done?**

\n\n

- India has the second highest number of Internet users in the world.  
\n
- Facebook, despite its unethical conduct, is of enduring value to millions of Indians.  
\n
- However, India has little to show as a country in investigatory outcomes, measured regulatory responses or parliamentary processes which safeguard users.

- \n
- Lack of institutional capacity to respond to these challenges is the underlying cause for our deficient national response.
- \n
- Thus, to properly harness digitisation, India needs to develop and prioritise institutions of governance to protect users.
- \n
- This must start immediately with a strong, rights-protecting, comprehensive privacy law.
- \n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n\n

\n\n

\n



**IAS PARLIAMENT**  
*Information is Empowering*  
A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative