



Need for Speeding RCEP Negotiations

What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- India has raised several issues which are proving a stumbling block for RCEP negotiations to move ahead.
- But in the backdrop of progress made in the reviving TPP, there is a need for urgency, as opportunities could be lost.

\n

\n\n

What is “Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP)”?

\n\n

\n

- **East Asia Summit (EAS)** - was established in 2005 with 16 countries.
- Its primary objective of creating an “Asian Economic Community (AEC)”.
- Its founding members were the 10 countries of the ASEAN block and - Japan, South Korea, China, India, Australia, and New Zealand.
- EAS has since expanded with the joining of “Russia and USA” as members in 2011 and has also significantly broadened its working agenda.
- **RCEP** - ASEAN has “Free Trade Agreements (FTA)” individually with other founding members of EAS, but there is no comprehensive deal.
- Hence, for furthering the cause, RCEP was envisioned between the 16 founding members of the EAS.
- In 2012, the ASEAN and its six FTA partners launched negotiations for establishing RCEP as a means to achieve deeper economic integration.

- \n
- The RCEP was expected to be finalised, first in 2015 and then in 2017, but after 20 rounds, the negotiations are yet to be concluded.
- \n
- **Reasons for Delay** - India's resistance to offer tariff concessions to the extent desired by other members is touted to be the main bottleneck.
- \n
- India has also been conservative about the time schedule for progressive tariff relaxation and has been proposing differential tariffs for different countries.
- \n
- Recently, India has shown willingness to drop some of its reservations in exchange for concessions in the form of certain services liberalisation.
- \n
- But this will only further slowdown negotiations as even at the intra-ASEAN level, trade liberalisation in services is limited to traditional sectors.
- \n
- Notably, only sectors like "transport, tourism and, to some extent, the financial services" are liberalised across ASEAN.
- \n

\n\n

What are the external compulsions?

\n\n

- \n
- Trans Pacific Partnership (TPP), lost considerable significance after the US pull out in early 2017.
- \n
- But recently, "Comprehensive and Progressive Agreement for TPP (CPTPP)" was successfully initiated (without USA), which has revived TPP negotiations.
- \n
- CPTPP membership is a subset of the "Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC)", of which India is not a member but some ASEAN members are.
- \n
- Notably, India's application to join APEC is pending for long and future accession will also be hard as it requires the consensus of all members.
- \n
- In this backdrop, India needs to speed up RCEP negotiations for its own good as ASEAN members might otherwise prioritise CPTPP over RCEP.
- \n

\n\n

What is the present state of ASEAN India trade?

\n\n

\n

- An FTA agreement in 2010 formalised business ties, but trade has increased from \$45 billion to just about \$71 in 8 years.
- Notably, ASEAN's share in India's total trade has been a constant 10-11% and while Indian exports have only marginally increase.
- Conversely, India's share in ASEAN's total trade is less than 3%.
- Also, the composition of the trade showed little increase in manufactured goods, with Palm oil import and meat product export dominating.
- Enhancing trade with Asean (increasing exports in particular), can happen only if India enhances its value chain integration with ASEAN.
- As RCEP has immense potential to facilitate this integration process, the current stalemate needs to be resolved on a priority basis.

\n

\n\n

What is the way forward?

\n\n

\n

- If India continues to remain inflexible in the negotiation process, other countries may choose to bring in more difficult provisions in the RCEP.
- Thrust for services liberalisation may open up demands for e-commerce and stricter intellectual property provisions, which will make India uncomfortable.
- Also, considering that ASEAN countries have the option of joining both RCEP and CPTPP, there is the inherent risk of de-prioritising Indian concerns.
- This beholds the risks of India losing opportunities for trade integration at both, the global and regional level.
- To overcome these challenges, India policymakers must show more flexibility and Indian industry has to be willing to face competition.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Business Standard

\n



IAS PARLIAMENT
Information is Empowering
A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative