

NITI Aayog's Suggestions for Farm Economy

Why in news?

\n\n

NITI Aayog made some key suggestions for the farm economy, in its recently released "Strategy for New India@75".

\n\n

What are the key proposals?

\n\n

\n

- The NITI Aayog's propositions include -
 - ∖n

\n\n

∖n

- i. conversion of the Commission for Agricultural Costs and Prices (CACP) into an agricultural tribunal
 - \n
- ii. replacement of minimum support prices (MSPs) with minimum reserve prices (MRPs) for auctioning the farm produce \ln
- iii. setting up government collection centres and warehouses at the village or block level

\n

\n\n

What are the concerns?

\n\n

\n

• **CACP** - CACP is currently mandated to recommend the minimum support prices (MSPs).

- What purpose would turning CACP into a tribunal in line with provisions of Article 323(B) of the Constitution will serve remains unclear. \n
- Tribunals are meant basically to adjudicate the disputes and not for going into issues like crop prices. γ_n
- As, these issues should, typically, be dictated by the dynamics of demand and supply in the domestic and international markets. \nlambda{n}
- MSP At present, MSPs are worked out by the CACP keeping the farmers' interests, among other factors, in view.
- NITI Aayog is right in acknowledging that the MSPs can only be a partial solution to boost the farmers' income. \n
- However, their replacement with <u>MRPs</u> to serve as the <u>starting point for</u> <u>auctions</u> at mandis might prove even worse. n
- There is inefficiency of agricultural markets, diversity of trading systems and hold of the middlemen over farm commodities' commerce. \n
- Given these, the reserve price-based auction system may not suit the farmers.

\n

- Traders operating in the regular mandis would simply refuse to quote higher bids, to force the farmers to sell their produce to them outside the market premises at throwaway prices.
- Storage The plea for crop collection and storage facilities at the village level sounds a little utopian.
- Despite efforts and investments over the past, crop procurement and storage infrastructure has failed to expand beyond a few wheat and rice growing regions.
- In all, these may not likely serve to mitigate farmers' distress by doubling their income. \n

1

\n\n

What are the other feasible proposals?

\n\n

∖n

- NITI Aayog has suggested ending power and water subsidies and, instead, offering fiscal sops for micro-irrigation (like drip irrigation). \n
- It has also emphasised on bringing in coherent and stable agricultural export policies, ideally with a time horizon of 5 to 10 years. \n
- Such a policy regime is imperative to create a reliable export window as an outlet for the surplus farm produce. \n
- [However, the recently announced national policy for agri-exports does not conform to this principle. \n

• It has ample room for restricting exports at any time to manage the domestic prices of mass-consumed farm items.]

\n

- Besides these, the NITI Aayog has called for $\nline{\nlinie{\nline{\nline{\nline{\nline{\$

\n\n

\n

- i. diluting the Essential Commodities Act \n
- ii. promoting contract farming
 - \n
- iii. encouraging futures trading for better price discovery n
- ${\rm iv.}\,$ facilitating sale of farm produce at relatively higher prices in the off-seasons $_{\n}$

\n\n

\n

• The recommendation to confer full-fledged infrastructure status on the postharvest value chain to enable them to access the available fiscal incentives is also welcome.

\n

- It is now essential that these workable suggestions are implemented. $\space{\space{1.5}n}$

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Business Standard

∖n





A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative