



## North American Free Trade Agreement

### Why in news?

\n\n

U.S. and Canada pulled back from a standoff regarding the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA).

\n\n

### What is NAFTA?

\n\n

- \n
- NAFTA came into effect in 1994.
- \n
- It is a successor to the Canada-United States Free Trade Agreement.
- \n
- NAFTA is a trilateral arrangement that includes Mexico.
- \n
- It led to lower tariffs on most goods and services traded among the countries.
- \n
- It encouraged big business to reorganise supply chains around the North American continent.
- \n
- This eventually made industries such as automobiles globally more competitive.
- \n
- Overall, regional trade has expanded more than three times since NAFTA came into effect.
- \n
- The agreement has also led to a range of rules on food safety, intellectual property rights and the settlement of disputes.
- \n
- It has generally deepened the political relationship among the three signatories.

\n

\n\n

## **What is the contention?**

\n\n

\n

- There is difference of opinion on the specific benefits NAFTA has brought to the US.

\n

- Trump continued to blame NAFTA for the trade deficit with Mexico.

\n

- He also linked it to the loss of American jobs.

\n

- The US President intended to sign a revised trade agreement with Mexico.

\n

- It was conveyed that revised agreement would be signed with Canada if it was willing.

\n

- Recently, the three countries began talks on overhauling the agreement.

\n

- They sought to address issues around labour, environment, etc.

\n

- Besides, changes necessitated by the expansion of new online businesses were also addressed.

\n

- It was soon announced that a deal had been reached with Mexico that would make NAFTA more fair.

\n

- But Canada was not showing any signs of consensus.

\n

- It was thus said the US administration would decide whether to have Canada or sign a separate deal.

\n

- The tussle thus threatened to lead to Canada's exclusion from the NAFTA.

\n

\n\n

## **What is the US-Mexico deal?**

\n\n

\n

- The updated deal between Mexico and the US includes some new clauses.

\n

- These cover intellectual property, digital trade and investor disputes.  
\n
- It was agreed that for a product to be tax-free, 75% of it must be manufactured in the two countries.  
\n
- This is a higher floor than in the existing agreement.  
\n
- The deal also says that 40-45% of every vehicle must be built by workers who earn at least \$16 per hour.  
\n
- This is to ensure that firms don't find it profitable to move production to Mexico.  
\n
- It's because wages are lower in Mexico than in the US, which might lead to skewed location preferences.  
\n

\n\n

## **Why is Canada opposing?**

\n\n

- \n
- The US and Canada have been unable to agree on several issues.  
\n
- These include:  
\n

\n\n

- \n
- i. barriers that Canada places on the import of dairy  
\n
- ii. Canadian rules on movies, books and other media  
\n
- iii. the mechanism to settle trade disputes  
\n

\n\n

- \n
- Canada wants to ensure its publishing and broadcasting industries are not overrun by bigger US rivals.  
\n
- It also wants to ensure that dairy imports did not pull down prices for its own farmers.  
\n
- Another area of disagreement is the so-called Chapter 19 of NAFTA.

- \n
- It deals with the mechanism for dispute resolution.
- \n
- It allows the signatory countries to challenge one another's anti-dumping and countervailing duty decisions before a committee.
- \n
- The committee has members from each country that is part of the dispute.
- \n
- Notably, in the mid-2000s, the dispute resolution panels ruled repeatedly against the US.
- \n
- This eventually strengthened Canada's negotiating position.
- \n
- The US has reportedly eliminated the Chapter 19 provision in the agreement with Mexico.
- \n
- But Canada has insisted on retaining the provision.
- \n
- It is to be noted that anti-dumping or countervailing duties can be challenged at the WTO as well.
- \n
- However, the fact is that countries sometimes ignore WTO decisions.
- \n

\n\n

## **What now?**

\n\n

- \n
- Despite Trump's tough stance, the US negotiators have decided to keep negotiating.
- \n
- This is primarily due to the fact that the US Congress wanted any revised deal to include both Canada and Mexico.
- \n
- This is because Canada is the major export destination for 36 US states.
- \n
- So there are demands that the decisions "do no harm" to the deal.
- \n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Indian Express**

\n



**IAS PARLIAMENT**

*Information is Empowering*

A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative