

### **NTCA Order**

#### What is the issue?

 $n\n$ 

The National Tiger Conservation Authority (NTCA) recently ordered that **there would be no tribal rights** under the Scheduled Tribes and Other Traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act, 2006 (FRA) in critical tiger habitats.

 $n\n$ 

#### Does it contradict the earlier stance of Government?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- Both the 'Guidance document for preparation of tiger conservation plan' and the Protocol/guidelines for voluntary village relocation in notified core/critical tiger habitats of tiger reserves' issued by the Environment Ministry acknowledge that although there is a need to keep forest reserves as inviolate for the purposes of tiger conservation, this ought to be done without affecting the rights of traditional forest dwellers.
- The NTCA and the relevant expert committee constituted to ensure tiger conservation under the Wildlife Protection Act, 1972 (WPA) have a mandate to ensure conservation along with human coexistence.

 $n\n$ 

# Can the tribal rights be compromised?

 $n\$ 

\n

• Compromises on the rights of Tribals can be made only where there is proof that the tribal/right holder's presence in these protected areas will create irreversible damage to their ecology.

\n

- While on paper the process adopted or recommended for creation and maintenance of critical tiger habitats appears fairly just, in effect its functioning is arbitrary.
- Neither the FRA nor the WPA has ever made a case for circumscribing the rights of Tribals in the name of environmental protection.
- Yet this takes place as the practice of conservation is predicated on **exclusionary logic.**
- Even in the face of significant evidence that Tribals have helped in increasing the tiger population, whether the **Soligas** in the BRT Tiger reserve in Karnataka or the **Baigas** in the Kanha National Park in M.P. (in photo), they have been periodically evicted, even as corporations and developmental projects are given a free hand to generate an environmental crisis on an unprecedented scale.

 $n\$ 

## What do the data say?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- According to the Global Environmental Justice Atlas data of 2016, India registered the highest number of environment-related conflicts (222) in proportion to the population.
- It is thus necessary for civil society and peoples' collectives to forge an alliance to prevent dissociating indigenous communities from the environmental conservation narrative.
- $\bullet$  Strengthening the FRA and eliminating instances that marginalise people in the name of conservation will require greater policy attention. \n

 $n\n$ 

 $n\n$ 

**Source: The Hindu** 

\n

