

On Path to Fiscal Consolidation

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

- ullet The government has been breaching the fiscal deficit targets time and again.
- Understanding the fiscal status in the light of the recent budget and the recent review committee's recommendations is imperative.

 $n\n$

What is the FRBM Act?

 $n\n$

\n

• The Fiscal Responsibility and Budget Management (FRBM) Act was enacted in 2003.

\n

- It led to the framing of FRBM Rules in 2004.
- **Rules** It essentially sets targets for the Central government to ensure fiscal discipline.

\n

• The FRBM rules set a target:

 $n\n$

\n

- i. for reduction of fiscal deficit to 3% of the GDP by 2008-09 (with annual reduction target of 0.3% of GDP) $\ensuremath{^{\text{N}}}$

\n

• Amendments - The target of 3% was achieved only once, in 2007-08.

\n

• It was thus first postponed and later suspended in 2009, following the global financial crisis.

۱n

• The FRBM Act was later amended twice, in 2012 and 2015.

• In May 2016, the government set up the NK Singh committee to review the FRBM Act.

\n

 \bullet In this backdrop, the recent budget has reset the target again. $\ensuremath{^{\backslash n}}$

 $n\n$

What is the present fiscal picture?

 $n\n$

\n

• **FISCAL DEFICIT** - The current Budget has retained the fiscal deficit at **3.5% of GDP**.

\n

 \bullet This is a deviation from the budgeted target of 3.2%. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$

• 3.2% itself is a **deviation** from the stipulated target of 3% for 2017-18 in the amended FRBM Act.

\n

• **DEBT** - The **debt-GDP ratio** has increased to 49.1% in 2017-18 from 48.7% in 2016-17.

\n

• The **increase** in debt-GDP ratio is against a declining trend observed until recently.

\n

- MTFP As per the requirement of the FRBM Act, a medium-term fiscal policy (MTFP) statement is presented in each Budget.
- The statement pertains to fiscal, revenue, and effective revenue deficits, and outstanding debt of the Central government.
- \bullet A review of the statements highlights missing the targets for all four variables often by big margins. \n

What are the recent changes in targets?

 $n\n$

\n

• FISCAL DEFICIT - Budget 2018-19 has proposed amending the FRBM Act again.

\n

- This will shift the target of **3%** fiscal deficit-GDP ratio to end-**March 2021**.
- **DEBT** The **general** debt-GDP ratio is slated to be reduced to **60%** of the GDP by **2024-25**.

۱n

• The **Central** government debt-GDP ratio is to be reduced to **40**% of the GDP by **2024-25**.

\n

• These targets are based on the recommendations of the FRBM Review Committee.

\n

• However, the committee's target of 2022-23 is shifted to 2024-25.

 $n\n$

What are the deviations from the recommendations?

 $n\n$

\n

• FISCAL DEFICIT - The FRBM review committee proposed the fiscal deficit to GDP ratio to be stabilised at 2.5%.

\n

 \bullet This target is derived in reference to the annual estimate of available investible resources at 10% of GDP. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$

• It comprises of surplus savings of the household sector and sustainable net capital inflows.

\n

Apparently, the government did not accept this and continued with the 3% target.

۱n

 \bullet If 3% target is the case, the government would have continued with the present FRBM Act. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$

• REVENUE DEFICIT - The committee had specified a revenue deficit glide

path, reaching **0.8% by 2022-23.**

• But, **no target** has been set by the **government** for revenue deficit **in** the present budget.

\n

• The target of revenue account balance is significant to a country.

• It is favourable if a country borrows as long as the entire borrowing is spent on capital spending.

۱n

• However, in the Indian context, the revenue deficit with some adjustments reflects **government dis-savings**.

\n

• Unless government dis-savings are eliminated, it will be difficult to reverse the trend of a falling savings rate.

• FISCAL COUNCIL - The Committee recommended the setting up of a fiscal council.

\n

• This is to independently examine the economic case and justification for deviating from the specified targets.

۱n

• This is to keep a check on unconstrained fiscal flexibility and to prevent the possibly avoidable fiscal risks.

\n

• The Central government however did not accept this recommendation as well.

\n

 $n\n$

What are the concerns?

 $n\n$

\n

- **FISCAL DISCIPLINE** A slippage margin of 50 basis points implies a delay in reaching the fiscal deficit target by two and a half years.
- The government has been missing the fiscal responsibility targets year after year and changing the statutory framework repeatedly.
- This questions the credibility of the government's commitment to fiscal discipline.

\n

• EXTRA-BUDGETARY RESOURCES - In the recent budget, government's

total outlay for 3 **focus areas** is **11.6% of GDP**.

- The focus areas are agriculture and rural livelihoods, infrastructure and education, and health and social sectors.
- Notably, **budgetary resources** constitute only **16.4%** of the total outlay.
- The remaining 83.6% is to be raised as an extra-budgetary resource.
- This will be through the public sector enterprises concerned, special purpose vehicles and other similar institutions.
- However, a substantial part of this may only be based on **borrowing**.
- \bullet This is because the relevant bodies may have only limited surpluses. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$
- This will be an added borrowing dependence for extra-budgetary resources along with **States' borrowing requirements**.

 $n\$

What is the way forward?

 $n\n$

۱n

• The fiscal deficit rule in India has been honoured more in breach than in observance.

۱'n

- Another year of fiscal slippage can be fatal for the economy.
- The fiscal deficit target needs continued vigilance to ensure sustainability for larger fiscal benefits.

\n

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu

\n

