



Ordinance to Amend the IBC

What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code (IBC) came into force in 2016 with the goal of easing the resolution of stagnant corporate debt.
- Recently, an ordinance was passed, which significantly amends the original law and risks defeating the very intent of IBC.

\n

\n\n

What is the intent of the amendment?

\n\n

\n

- IBC was enacted to ensure time bound corporate debt resolution through proceeding initiated by either the creditor or debtor with the 'National Company Law Tribunal - NCLT'.
- In the original IBC, there was a possibility for defaulters to apply as bidders in the liquidation (auctioning assets) process.
- This would have helped them regain control of their own companies with a reduced loan burden than before.
- This was seen as a clever way to gain loan reductions that could possibly impact the credibility of the insolvency resolutions.
- It has hence been considered necessary to prohibit unscrupulous defaulters from submitting resolution plans under IBC.
- The current ordinance specifies the categories of persons who are deemed ineligible henceforth to ensure credible debt resolution.

\n

\n\n

What is the problem with the amendment?

\n\n

\n

- **Purpose of IBC** - IBC is not merely an instrument for liquidation.
- Instead, it is also envisioned as an enabling legal framework for the “reorganisation and insolvency resolution of corporate entities”.
- It fact, it even prescribes a time bound procedure for “maximising the asset value of such entities and to promote entrepreneurship”.
- **Amendment** - Wilful defaulters have put creditors to substantial financial hardships and barring them from bidding is a good move.
- But the category of people barred under the current ordinance is too broad and risks defeating the very objectives of IBC.
- The ordinance’s scope & wording is such that all loans that have become NPAs can be branded as wilful default.
- Even, the promoters and members of the management board of companies whose loan accounts are classified as non-performing for just 1 year (or more) have also been barred from bidding.
- Notably, the amendments have been made with retrospective effect to also cover the more than 600 cases already referred to NCLT.
- **The Business** - Also, the complete barring of all original owners from bidding for assets might not make economic sense.

\n

\n\n

\n

- This is because they would have a better knowledge of the market dynamics and might have nurtured a clientele that might be difficult to emulate for other bidders.

\n

\n\n

\n

- Barring them could potentially prolong debt servicing as the new management might take time to set in.

\n

\n\n

Do all NPAs necessarily mean wrong intent?

\n\n

\n

- The central bankers have often pointed out that not all bad loans are a result of intentional default on the borrower's part.
- \n
- Companies in some sectors have struggled to service debts due to unpredictable external factors that adversely impacted their finances.
- \n
- Promoters of such firms from should be given a chance to restructure and turnaround their business.
- \n
- Barring them merely because their loans have turned sour is unfair to both the entrepreneur and the enterprise itself.
- \n
- **Steel Industry's Case** - Steel companies were among the worst hit in the wake of the global downturn in commodity prices.
- \n
- It has been reported that the promoters of some of these debt-laden steelmakers were considering participating in bids.
- \n
- They wanted to restructure debts and their businesses and were hoping to run them again - which the current amendment hinders.

\n

\n\n

What is the way forward?

\n\n

\n

- The ordinance is expected to be tabled in the winter session of the parliament in December.
- \n
- It needs to be debated thoroughly and a more rationale debt resolution framework needs to be evolved.
- \n
- Else, instead of solving the NPA problem, IBC could aggravate it.

\n

- The robustness of the insolvency framework is bound to have a significant impact on investments in the economy.
- \n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Hindu

\n



IAS PARLIAMENT
Information is Empowering
A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative