

Outcomes of the WTO Ministerial Conference

Why in news?

 $n\n$

The 11^{th} biennial ministerial conference of the 'World Trade Organisation (WTO)' recently ended.

 $n\n$

What is the divide between developing & the developed world?

 $n\n$

\n

- \bullet In the late 90s, it was felt that the rules of the emerging global free market economics was tilted in favour of the industrialised and developed countries. \n
- As WTO was also ushering such a world order, the developing world countries raised their grievance in WTO's Doha Ministerial of 2001.
- These prominent issues raised were -

 $n\$

\n

\n

- 1. **Agriculture** Undoing the trade-distorting subsidies provided in the developed countries for farming
- 2. **Medicines -** Relaxing IPR norms for life saving drugs in developing countries
- 3. **SDT** Special & Differential Treatment was sought for goods from the weaker countries (to make them competitive internationally)

 $n\n$

- While, negotiations on most of these issues haven't concluded, the developed countries have been keen to move on to newer issues like 'e-commerce'.
- \bullet On the contrary, the developing countries want the Doha issues to settled first before taking up newer things as it might distract focus. \n

 $n\$

How did the current ministerial go?

 $n\n$

\n

- **Developed countries** They wanted the ministerial to see the fast-tracking of e-commerce talks but they didn't make much headway. \n
- US, EU & Japan issued a joint statement aimed at China, on trade-distorting practices such as over-capacity and mandating technology transfer policies.
- The U.S. even argued that the 'Developing Nation' tag was being misused by some countries that were rich in absolute GDP terms.
- **Developing Countries** Contrarily, India and China also submitted a joint proposal to end the trade-distorting farm subsidies of Western nations.
- For India, although none of its 'offensive' interests were achieved, its 'defensive' interests remain protected.
- Hence, despite the stalemate, it has been regarded a partial success for India.

 $n\n$

What are the major issues?

 $n\n$

- Food Security Issue Currently, a temporary 'Peace Clause' is in effect.
- This bars countries from lodging complaints with the WTO against the subsidised food security programs of the developing world.
- In this backdrop, India pushed for a 'permanent solution' to replace the 'Peace Clause' and to safeguard its grain stockpiling & public procurement programs.

\n

- While a permanent solution was thwarted by the US, the current 'peace clause' remains in place thereby retaining status quo.
- **Fishing subsidies** These are provided mainly by the developing world yo help their fishermen who are predominantly subsistence fishers.
- These subsidies has been alleged to be distorting the markets as it affects the businesses of the high-tech industrial fisher countries like Japan & Canada.

\n

- \bullet The developed countires also stressed that, unsustainable fishing was on the rise due to such subsidy policies. $\mbox{\sc h}$
- \bullet Due to opposition from the developing world, this issue has been pushed to the next ministerial in 2019. $\mbox{\sc has}$

 $n\n$

What are the challenges ahead?

 $n\n$

\n

- US Withdrawal Trump administration's disregard for multilateral forums and agreements was more than visible in the conference. $\$
- Previously, the US had blocked the reappointment of judges to the appellate body of the WTO. Such moves could weaken the WTO structurally.
- Lack of concensus The stubborn positions of the negotiating blocks has led to certain issues pending for decades.
- \bullet These could effectively render WTO as an inffective forum of engagement.
- India's issue While India's GDP may be growing, the country has hundreds of millions living in poverty and without food security.
- It has been facing an increasing rough WTO table as it tries to balance its soverign responsibility to feed its millions and the pressures against subsidies.

Source: The Hindu

