Outcomes of the WTO Ministerial Conference

Why in news?

The 11th biennial ministerial conference of the ‘World Trade Organisation (WTO)’ recently ended.

What is the divide between developing & the developed world?

- In the late 90s, it was felt that the rules of the emerging global free market economics was tilted in favour of the industrialised and developed countries.
- As WTO was also ushering such a world order, the developing world countries raised their grievance in WTO’s Doha Ministerial of 2001.
- These prominent issues raised were -

  1. **Agriculture** - Undoing the trade-distorting subsidies provided in the developed countries for farming
  2. **Medicines** - Relaxing IPR norms for life saving drugs in developing countries
  3. **SDT** - Special & Differential Treatment was sought for goods from the weaker countries (to make them competitive internationally)

- While, negotiations on most of these issues haven’t concluded, the developed countries have been keen to move on to newer issues like ‘e-commerce’.
- On the contrary, the developing countries want the Doha issues to settled
first before taking up newer things as it might distract focus.

How did the current ministerial go?

- **Developed countries** - They wanted the ministerial to see the fast-tracking of e-commerce talks but they didn’t make much headway.
- US, EU & Japan issued a joint statement aimed at China, on trade-distorting practices such as over-capacity and mandating technology transfer policies.
- The U.S. even argued that the ‘Developing Nation’ tag was being misused by some countries that were rich in absolute GDP terms.
- **Developing Countries** - Contrarily, India and China also submitted a joint proposal to end the trade-distorting farm subsidies of Western nations.
- For India, although none of its ‘offensive’ interests were achieved, its ‘defensive’ interests remain protected.
- Hence, despite the stalemate, it has been regarded a partial success for India.

What are the major issues?

- **Food Security Issue** - Currently, a temporary ‘Peace Clause’ is in effect.
- This bars countries from lodging complaints with the WTO against the subsidised food security programs of the developing world.
- In this backdrop, India pushed for a ‘permanent solution’ to replace the ‘Peace Clause’ and to safeguard its grain stockpiling & public procurement programs.
- While a permanent solution was thwarted by the US, the current ‘peace clause’ remains in place – thereby retaining status quo.
- **Fishing subsidies** - These are provided mainly by the developing world to help their fishermen who are predominantly subsistence fishers.

- These subsidies have been alleged to be distorting the markets as it affects the businesses of the high-tech industrial fisher countries like Japan & Canada.

- The developed countries also stressed that, unsustainable fishing was on the rise due to such subsidy policies.

- Due to opposition from the developing world, this issue has been pushed to the next ministerial in 2019.

---

**What are the challenges ahead?**

- **US Withdrawal** - Trump administration’s disregard for multilateral forums and agreements was more than visible in the conference.

- Previously, the US had blocked the reappointment of judges to the appellate body of the WTO. Such moves could weaken the WTO structurally.

- **Lack of consensus** - The stubborn positions of the negotiating blocks have led to certain issues pending for decades.

- These could effectively render WTO as an ineffective forum of engagement.

- **India’s issue** - While India’s GDP may be growing, the country has hundreds of millions living in poverty and without food security.

- It has been facing an increasingly rough WTO table as it tries to balance its sovereign responsibility to feed its millions and the pressures against subsidies.

---
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