



## Outrage against Russian Electoral Meddling

### What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- There is a palpable moral outrage in U.S. against the alleged Russian meddling in the 2016 Presidential elections.
- Significantly, the outraged seem oblivious of the multiple U.S. sponsored electoral sabotages worldwide.

\n

\n\n

### Does the current moral outrage stand rational scrutiny?

\n\n

\n

- U.S. President Trump and the Russian President Vladimir Putin recently met for a bilateral at Helsinki (Finland), which went well.
- **Intelligence** - During the summit, Mr. Trump voiced that he didn't believe that Russia had any role in the 2016 electoral meddling.
- As this was despite U.S. intelligence reports, there was widespread discontent within the U.S., and Mr. Trump had to retract his statement.
- In this context, the false intelligence reports that caused the 2003 Iraqi war against Saddam Hussain seem conveniently forgotten.
- **Diplomacy** - Usual joint press conference between big powers see global issues, like disarmament, conflicts in Syria and Ukraine being discussed.
- But reporters at Helsinki had posed questions on the election meddling, which was clearly out of context and politically polarising.

\n

- In this context, it was prudent of Mr. Trump to have sounded positive of his Russian counterpart, as accusations would've doomed the summit.  
\n
- Although, Mr. Trump could've sidestepped those questions (or rather just not had a summit currently), his responses were nonetheless decent enough.  
\n

\n\n

## **Why is the moral outrage against "Russia Gate" hypocritical?**

\n\n

- Those outraged at the Russian role in sabotaging a democratic mandate within the U.S., claim ignorance to the various U.S. meddling activities elsewhere.  
\n
- Notably, on various occasions, U.S. has influenced electoral outcomes and has even toppled democratically elected popular governments through its agents.  
\n
- Significantly, during the 1996 Russian presidential elections, Clinton administration worked for the victory of incumbent "Boris Yeltsin".  
\n
- Hefty IMF loans and a delegation of political consultants were dispatched to Russia just months before the election to defeat the resurgent Communists.  
\n
- Notably, U.S. officials seemingly didn't even deny this meddling, as they were invested in the belief that they were spreading democracy through their acts.  
\n
- Starting from the 1948 Italian elections, documented evidence of significant U.S. meddling has been found in about 81 elections worldwide till 2000.  
\n
- In Italy, U.S. support had managed to sustain "Christian Democrats" in power from 1948-1994 and effectively stopped the advance of Communists.  
\n
- Significantly, organisations like the CIA are alleged to have had dedicated branches within it to influence electoral outcomes elsewhere by all means.

- \n
- Also, democratically elected leaders like Mosaddegh in Iran, Jacobo Árbenz in Guatemala or Allende in Chile have been overthrown by U.S. propped agents.
- \n

\n\n

### **How should the Russian meddling be viewed?**

\n\n

- \n
- Election meddling is nothing new to USSR/Russia, which is said to have intervened at least 36 times in overseas elections between 1946 and 2000.
- \n
- Even now, U.S. government sponsors several agencies such as the “National Endowment for Democracy” (NED) to influence foreign elections.
- \n
- Notably, NED granted \$23,000 in 2006 to a political adversary of Mr. Putin and two years ago \$6.8 million to anti-Putin organisations in Russia.
- \n
- Significantly, rather than allowing foreign populations to freely exercise their will, these interventions were designed to advance U.S. policy objectives.
- \n
- Considering these historic precedence, it is important for all to view the current events in the broader context of real politicking.
- \n

\n\n

- \n
- Nonetheless, recognising the long history of states meddling in elections does not mean that it should be accepted much less condoned.
- \n

\n\n

### **Is the outrage against Trump’s Russian outreach political?**

\n\n

- \n
- Trump has initiated a trade war with China, European Union, Canada, Mexico, and India – a policy that appeals to his supporters.
- \n

- Although his logic that such an approach will generate more local jobs in the U.S. is spurious, he seems invested in his agenda.  
\n
- Trump views that a Russian detente as a significant aspect of his trade policy, although it is likely to undermining the long held U.S. policy on Russia.  
\n
- He also decries the need to muscle U.S. into central Asian politics (contrary to the bipartisan view), which is causing the political churn against Trump.  
\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n\n

\n



**IAS PARLIAMENT**  
*Information is Empowering*  
A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative