

Plan on Higher Education

Why in news?

 $n\n$

Union government is enabling regulatory architecture to emerge public and private institutions as world-class institutions.

 $n\n$

What actions were taken by government in this regard?

 $n\n$

\n

- In early 2017, the UGC approved the guidelines for creating 20 world-class institutions that were renamed "institutes of eminence" (IoE). \n
- The outcome of the process that took more than two years was that out of over 900 universities, only six have the potential to become world-class universities.

\n

- In all, 114 institutions applied on the basis of a promise laid down in policies announced by the government.
- The terms of references under which the Empowered Committee was tasked to identify universities were not made public.
- \bullet The process of selecting the empowering universities was arbitrary.

 $n\n$

What are the challenges involved?

 $n\n$

\n

• Only three Indian universities featured in the top 250 in the QS World

University Rankings 2018, while just 11 made it to top 700. \n

• No Indian university features in the top 250 in the Times Higher Education World Rankings 2018 while only two have made it to the top 500.

\n

- \bullet This is because the key characteristics that are vital to any world-class university were missing from the exercise of selecting institutions. \n
- This includes, for instance, internationalisation of faculty, research, students, courses and outlook
- There seems to be a paralysis in India's higher education system in not just policy, but also in procedure, planning and perspective. \n

 $n\n$

What measures needs to be taken?

 $n\$

\n

- Universities take decades to evolve, attention should have been on empowering existing brown-field universities, rather than recognising non-existing universities as contenders.
- Institution building is about a deeper recognition of what it takes to build a culture of excellence, thus Lived experiences of individuals matter more in universities than in any other organisation.
- Even if there was a vision to recognise green-field institutions, there should have been a separate criteria and process to evaluate them.
- The task for the Committee should have been to simply select from the existing pool of universities and empower them to compete internationally.

\n

 The Committee should have taken cognisance of the robust methodology that is used to rank institutions. Of course, research is of paramount importance.

۱n

 Thus the selection process should have had a holistic approach to disciplines.

\n

 $n\n$

Source: Indian Express

\n

