

Politics Vs Bureaucracy - Delhi

Why in news?

 $n\n$

There is an ongoing tussle between the elected government and the bureaucracy in Delhi.

 $n\n$

What is going on in Delhi?

 $n\n$

۱n

• Government - Delhi CM Kejriwal has said there was "de facto President's Rule" in the national capital.

\n

• Mr. Kejriwal, Deputy Chief Minister, and some ministers have been staging a sit-in at the Raj Niwas.

\n

- They called attention to an "illegal strike by Delhi government officers".
- They demanded that Lieutenant-Governor order an end to what they call a strike by officers.

۱n

• **Bureaucracy** - But Raj Niwas and the IAS officers' association has said there is no strike.

\n

- Passing of the state budget and the accompanying budget session are pointed as proofs of a working machinery.
- However, they admit to being part of what is at least a 'non-cooperation campaign'.
- They have restricted communication with the political executive to the written form alone since February.

- Also, not attending meetings convened by Ministers.
- This was in protest of the alleged assault on Chief Secretary by AAP MLAs at Mr. Kejriwal's residence.

\n

• The bureaucrats claim they feel vulnerable in the absence of Ministerial assurances of their safety.

 $n\n$

What is the tussle for?

 $n\n$

\n

- Delhi witnesses a tussle for "full statehood" versus "partial statehood".
- Relations between the Chief Minister and Lieutenant General in Delhi has for long been a concern.

۱n

• But this has less to do with constitutional correctness and more with the political compulsions.

۱n

- The principle of special sensitivities for national capitals exists for Delhi too.
- This is on account of the national, international importance, geographical location and strategic significance.
- \bullet This makes the claim to "full statehood" for Delhi to be treated differently.

 $n\n$

What are the implications?

 $n\n$

۱n

- It results in a fractured arrangement of power-sharing.
- This applies to law and order, land and services residing with the central government.

\n

- \bullet This arrangement has always been contested by the state government. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$
- Such constitutional arrangements militate against the preferences of the "elected government".

\n

• The compromises and restrictions defeat the purposes of democracy and accountability in elected governments.

۱n

• E.g. the formation of the present Delhi government was followed by the LG's office imposing many constraints.

\n

- \bullet It disabled the power of the state government to transfer employees. $\mbox{\ensuremath{\backslash}} n$
- Also, restrictions were imposed on ordering vigilance probes on complaints or proceeding against corrupt officials.

 $n\$

Why is the issue significant?

 $n\n$

\n

• Trust between the political class and bureaucracy is necessary for good governance.

\n

• The impasse in Delhi suggests a total breakdown in the relations between the two sections.

\n

• This spate between the LG and the CM has further diminished the nobility of constitutional offices.

\n

• The government must introspect and adopt a more mature approach to the bureaucracy.

\n

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu, Indian Express

\n

