

# Practical constrains with anti-dumping duties

### What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- In the global trade scenario, dumping activity is seen as a potential threat, to address which WTO has a provision of Anti-dumping duties.  $\n$
- However, practical constrains with anti-dumping duties diminish the global trade growth.
  \n

\n\n

### What is dumping?

\n\n

∖n

• An export sale of a commodity at a price lower than the normal value (the price at which goods is ordinarily sold) prevailing in the exporting country is construed as dumping.

∖n

• A country can exercise trade remedial action against dumping only if dumping causes or has a threat of causing any adverse impact its domestic industry.

\n

\n\n

#### What is anti-dumping agreement?

\n\n

∖n

• Anti-dumping Agreement (AD Agreement) provides for the right of contracting members to apply anti-dumping measures.

\n

• This is to safeguard their domestic industry against the dumping and its

injurious effect.

∖n

- By this, no country shall export a commodity to another WTO member country at a price that is lower than the price at which a similar commodity is traded in the exportingcountry.
- The purpose of the trade remedy action against dumping is to provide a level playing field to the domestic industry by imposing additional duty.  $\n$

\n\n

### How does an Anti-dumping duty work?

\n\n

∖n

• A country impacted by unfair anti-dumping duties can get it resolved either through mutual consultation or through the mechanism of the dispute settlement body of the WTO.

∖n

- Anti-dumping investigation conducted by affected countries involves the process of "non-injurious price".
   \n
- To do this the authorities call for costing information from the complainant.  $\ensuremath{\sc n}$
- And undertakes detailed analysis of relevant factors of the costs involved.  $\ensuremath{\sc vn}$
- This allows the authority to compute the anti-dumping duty based on the cost data and in the manner it thinks appropriate.  $\n$

\n\n

# What are the practical constrains with this?

\n\n

∖n

• In order to disprove the assertion that dumping has harmed the importing economy, producers/exporters have an uphill task of convincing the customs authorities.

\n

- They need to demonstrate that the export price is higher than the price at which they themselves sell the same products in their own domestic market.  $\n$
- Due to this, many of the producers/exporters are unable to satisfy the investigating officials of the importing economy about levying either a nil or

lower anti-dumping rate.

\n

- Genuine competitive disadvantages should not be masked by the cloak of anti-dumping duties.  $\gamman{\c} \gamman{\c} \gamma$
- Member countries of the WTO have the responsibility to exercise restraint in invoking the unfair anti-dumping provisions.  $\gamman$

\n\n

\n\n

# Source: Business Standard

∖n

