

# **Pros and Cons of PM-KISAN**

### What is the issue?

The Interim Budget placed a strong focus on the rural and farm sectors by introducing PM-KISAN.

### What is PM-KISAN?

- Pradhan Mantri Kisan Samman Nidhi (PM-KISAN) is a new income support scheme announced by the Union government.
- Under the Pradhan Mantri Kisan Samman Nidhi (PM-KISAN), every family owning not more than two hectares of cultivable land is entitled to receive Rs 6,000 per year via direct benefit transfer.
- It is likely to cover 12 crore small and marginal farmers and has annual budgetary allocation of Rs 75,000 crore.
- Each smallholder is entitled to receive the amount in a bank account in three tranches of Rs 2,000 each.

## What are the administrative mechanisms involved?

- **Aadhaar Requirements** The beneficiaries need to have Aadhaar identification to get the money from the second instalment, which would be paid by July 2019.
- However, this would not be compulsory for the first instalment expected to be disbursed by March 31.
- An alternate list of identification documents has also been provided, as options.
- **Fund distribution** States would be given a maximum of 0.25% of funds transferred to beneficiaries in the first instalment to pay for their administrative expenses in the implementation of the scheme.
- That amount would drop to 0.125% for all further instalments.
- Land requirements PM-KISAN spelt out that furnishing a proper land record and bank account details is necessary to become a beneficiary.

- Land revenue and block agriculture offices are likely to be responsible for reconciliation and digitization of land records.
- States have been told to prepare a database of beneficiaries small and marginal landholder farmer families in all villages including whether they belong to SC/ST, bank account, mobile and Aadhaar details.

### What are cons with the scheme?

- While PM-KISAN aims to cover small and marginal farmers (85% of farmer population), landless agricultural laborers and tenant farmers are left in the lurch.
- The scheme leaves unanswered questions like,
- 1. If beneficiary farmers wish to lease their lands to tenant farmers or keep their lands fallow for some time, are they eligible to receive cash benefits?
- 2. Who will be accountable to check the opportunistic behavior of farmers?
- Except a few states, others are still lagging in maintaining database for land records.
- There are concerns at operational level land record reconciliation, digitization of land records, costs incurred in consolidation of land records.
- The situation is slightly more complicated in the northeastern States, as land ownership rights in the region are community-based, making it difficult to identify beneficiaries.
- The mammoth drive of implementing PM-KISAN can increase opportunity costs of critical (human) resources, operational risks and, eventually, shoot up operating expenses and strain the fiscal health of concerned states.

#### What measures needs to be taken?

- Agriculture is a state subject; the Centre shouldn't interfere, other than
  creating an enabling environment through responsive bureaucracy legal
  compliance, easing of doing business, market infrastructure, and trade
  facilitation.
- Underwriting processes related to crop loss assessment and claim payout need to be authentic and fastened by insurance agencies at the auspices of state governments.
- From a rational viewpoint, farmers need to utilize agricultural inputs (land, water, crop protection chemicals) efficiently, and also allocate capital and farm resources to the market-led production schedule.

- The Centre should allow public and private institutions and market agencies to render services to the farm sector at a fair price.
- Government must realize that its goal should reduce poverty, ensure sustainable food security, and inclusive growth and wellbeing of farmers.

**Source: Financial Express, the Hindu** 

