

# **Repatriating Prisoners**

#### Why in news?

\n\n

Pakistan recently releases Indian prisoner Hamid Nihal Ansari after 6 years in Pakistani jail.

\n\n

### What is the background?

\n\n

\n

- Hamid Nihal Ansari, an engineer from Mumbai, left India for Kabul for employment and then was reported "missing" since 2012.
   \n
- He reportedly became friendly with a Pakistani girl on social media and reached Kohat in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa to save her from a forced marriage.  $\n$
- On November 12, 2012, he crossed over from Afghanistan border in Jalalabad to Peshawar in Pakistan where he was arrested by Pakistan intelligence.

\n

• Pakistan had alleged that he was an "Indian spy" who illegally entered the country, and accused him of involvement in anti-state crimes by forging his documents.

\n

- Though subsequent investigations proved his innocence on all charges other than entering Pakistan illegally, the authorities there put him through a trial in a military court.
  - \n
- Accordingly, he was tried by a military court in Pakistan on charges of espionage in 2012 and was given a three-year sentence in December 2015.  $\n$
- Pakistan had also not responded to many requests by India for consular

access, which was a violation of the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations.

∖n

- His sentence was ended recently and the Peshawar high court had given a one-month deadline to the Pakistan government to complete formalities for Ansari's repatriation.
- However, legal experts have argued that he should have been freed earlier as he had already spent three years in jail at the time of his sentencing.  $\n$

\n\n

### What does the incident show on the bilateral relations?

\n\n

∖n

• To its credit, the Ministry of External Affairs applied sustained diplomatic pressure on Islamabad.

∖n

- It has consistently demanded information on Mr. Ansari's whereabouts and then for a fair trial and consular access, which was never granted.  $\n$
- The Government of Pakistan must also be commended for expediting Mr. Ansari's release after he completed his sentence on December 15, although it had received another month from a Peshawar court to finish the formalities.  $\n$
- India would do well to acknowledge the Pakistan government's gesture in releasing him.  $\n$
- Also, credit goes to citizens' groups in both countries that helped the family, particularly lawyers and human rights activists in Pakistan who have ensured Mr. Ansari's release.
- \n
  Thus, the repatriation of Hamid Nihal Ansari is cause for cheer on the otherwise bleak landscape of India-Pakistan relations.

\n\n

\n

## What should be done?

\n\n

∖n

• Both India and Pakistan must dedicate themselves to freeing hundreds of

other prisoners who remain in each other's jails.

- \n
- Many of the prisoners have completed their sentences but await long processes of identification and repatriation.  $\n$
- According to government figures, Pakistan holds 471 Indian prisoners while India holds 357 Pakistani prisoners.
- A large number of them are fishermen who inadvertently trespassed into each other's waters.
  - ∖n
- Thus, the two countries must revive the biannual meetings of the <u>Joint</u> <u>Judicial Committee on Prisoners</u> as agreed to a decade ago, which has not met since 2013.

\n

- Its last recommendations, that women and children as well as prisoners with mental health issues be sent back to their countries on humanitarian grounds, are yet to be implemented.
  - ∖n
- Holding these prisoners as hostages only result in bitter bilateral ties and prolongs the misery of their impoverished families.  $\n$
- $\bullet$  Thus, a more humane approach on the treatment and repatriation of prisoners needs to be adopted by both these countries.  $\n$

\n\n

\n\n

### Source: The Hindu

\n\n

\n\n

\n





A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative