

Replacement of Prime Minister in Sri Lanka - II

Click here for Part I.

 $n\n$

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

• Sri Lankan President Maithripala Sirisena dissolved the Parliament after the recent replacement of the Prime Minister.

۱n

• The decision seems to have negated the letter and spirit of recent constitutional reforms.

\n

 $n\n$

What is the rationale?

 $n\n$

\n

• Many parties questioned the legality of the recent dismissal of Mr. Wickremesinghe as the PM.

۱n

• With around 100 MPs each in the 225-member House, both rival camps claimed they had the majority.

\n

- But a 15-member alliance of Tamil MPs and six Janatha Vimukthi Peramuna lawmakers refused to support the new regime.
- The President's move thus came after it became evident that the newly appointed PM Rajapaksa did not enjoy a legislative majority.
- It was intended to buy Mr. Rajapaksa time to garner support through defections.

\n

• It seems to be an act of desperation to prevent a likely loss of face for both leaders.

\n

 $n\n$

What is the Constitutional dispute?

 $n\n$

\n

- The Sirisena-Wickremesinghe administration introduced in 2015 a new provision in the Constitution through the 19th Amendment.
- Accordingly, the House cannot be dissolved for four and a half years after a
 parliamentary election, unless two-thirds of its total membership seeks
 dissolution through a resolution.

۱n

- \bullet It is only a little over three years since the last election, and there is also no request from MPs seeking the dissolution of Parliament. \n
- So Mr. Sirisena's action comes as a violation of this restriction.
- But Article 33(2)(c) was cited as the legal backup for the recent move.
- It says the President has the power to summon, prorogue and dissolve Parliament.

\n

 However, a general provision enumerating some powers overriding a specific provision that expressly limits those powers remains contentious.

 $n\n$

How does the future look?

 $n\n$

\n

• The promises held out by the 2015 reforms seem to have vanished with Mr. Sirisena's actions.

\n

- The undermining of the recent constitutional reforms may no longer instil confidence in the promises of writing a new, inclusive Constitution.
- \bullet The Opposition parties are now set to challenge the President's action. $\mbox{\sc h}$
- · Sri Lanka is thus at a crossroads to make a crucial choice between

democratic consolidation and a retreat to authoritarianism.

\n

• The judiciary too has a crucial task at hand. $\$

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu

\n

