



## Replacing the Accounting Regulator

### What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- Multiple corporate scandals, seems to be reflecting the failure of the auditors, which calls for reforming the regulatory structure for the auditing profession.

\n

- Notably, there are already plans for annulling the self regulatory role that is currently played by the “Institute of Chartered Accountants in India” (ICAI).

\n

\n\n

### What is the envisioned replacement for ICAI?

\n\n

\n

- Several corporate scandals have surfaced in the past, where Chartered Accountants had failed in their audit duties.

\n

- This was again reiterated in the “Punjab National Bank fraud”, and calls for better regulating the auditing profession has grown stronger.

\n

- Notably, replacing the self-regulatory role of “Institute of Chartered Accountants of India” (ICAI) with an external regulator is already under consideration.

\n

- **NFRA** - The setting up of an external regulator namely “National Financial Reporting Authority” (NFRA) has been envisaged in the Companies Act 2013.

\n

- While NFRA hasn’t been notified yet, it is being touted as a powerful body that will lay down accounting and auditing standards to be followed in India.

\n

- It is also envisioned to monitor and enforce adoption of the stated auditing

standards, and oversee the quality of the auditing profession.

\n

- Importantly, it will also have the powers to investigate the misconduct by chartered accountants, either on its own or based on a reference made to it.

\n

- Consequently, NFRA is intended to become the regulatory body that will comprehensively replace ICAI's self regulatory role.

\n

- Resultantly, ICAI will become merely an examining and certifying body.

\n

\n\n

## **What has been the record of ICAI?**

\n\n

\n

- **Overall Record** - ICAI has for long been serving the individual self interests of its top brass rather than the collective image of the auditing profession.

\n

- It has long been ignoring the blows dealt to its reputation by fellow member's lapses and even downplayed them in the face of public anger.

\n

- Notably, of the 1,972 disciplinary cases considered by the ICAI till now, only the auditors of Satyam have been permanently disqualified from membership.

\n

- In a majority of cases where members have been found guilty, they've been merely reprimanded.

\n

- **Specific Cases** - Stock market regulator SEBI has alleged that ICAI hasn't cared to investigate the role of auditors in suspected stock price manipulation.

\n

- Notably, SEBI had referred multiple cases to ICAI as early as 2015, for which action hasn't been taken.

\n

- Also, Serious Fraud Investigation Office (SFIO) had referred 34 chartered accountants for suspected involvement in money laundering.

\n

- In this reference too, there has been minimal action, with investigations commencing only against 5 auditors.

\n

- The PNB fraud was indeed the pinnacle of failure as it got through multiple parallel audits like - the branch audit and statutory audit.

\n

- **Structural Flaw** - Self Regulation is a concept where the regulated elect the regulator that governs the entire setup.

\n

- This model works well only if there is a sense a collective accountability in sector, which clearly seems missing here.

\n

- Hence, the current state of affairs was completely crafted by ICAI's own making, which hence justifies calls for an external regulator.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Business Line**

\n\n

\n



**IAS PARLIAMENT**  
*Information is Empowering*  
A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative