

Resolving Power Sector NPAs - II

Why in news?

 $n\n$

\n

- The Allahabad High Court has decided to deny interim relief to power companies from the RBI's February 12 circular.
- Click $\underline{\text{here}}$ to know more on the case.

 $n\n$

What is the RBI's directive?

 $n\n$

\n

• The RBI circular sets a 180-day deadline for the resolution of all non-performing assets.

۱'n

- It requires banks to finalise a resolution plan within this.
- \bullet This is in case of a default on large accounts of Rs 20 billion or more. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$
- Failing this, insolvency proceedings will have to be invoked against the defaulter.

\n

- The assets would be taken to the National Company Law Tribunal (NCLT).
- \bullet The central bank also introduced the concept of a one-day default. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$
- Under this, banks have to identify incipient stress even when repayments are overdue by a day.

 $n\n$

What is the petition?

 $n\n$

\n

• Nearly 70 large companies with a debt of around Rs 3.8 trillion would have to face Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code proceedings.

\n

- Three-fourths of this debt pertains to a handful of power producers.
- As many as 34 power plants, with a combined capacity of 39 GW, have been identified as stressed assets.

۱n

• Power producers were not the only ones hesitant on submitting to the IBC process.

\n

- \bullet The government and even the lenders, mostly public sector banks, were also not keen on implementing the RBI circular. \n
- They argued that the power sector's problems had more to do with external factors.

\n

 $n\n$

Why is the Court's decision significant?

 $n\n$

\n

• It is RBI's discretion to decide whether any particular sector deserves regulatory exceptions.

\n

• In this case, it has decided against granting any exception, which is a sound, rule-based approach.

۱n

- Any judicial intervention would have sent a wrong signal to other defaulters.
- They would have then started seeking judicial reprieve from the RBI's circulars.

\n

• So the high court has consciously avoided a precedent that could have been misused.

\n

• It has done its bit by ensuring the RBI's position as an independent regulator.

\n

• It is not yet clear whether the power producers will move the Supreme Court.

\n

• Till that happens, the RBI deadline stands and banks are bound to start IBC proceedings.

\n

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: Business Standard

\n

