

Revisiting Adultery Law

Why in news?

 $n\n$

The Supreme Court has decided to re-examine the offence of adultery as dealt in Section 497 of the Indian Penal Code.

 $n\n$

What are the contentious provisions in Section 497?

 $n\n$

\n

- \bullet Section 497 of IPC $\underline{criminalises}$ the offence of adultery. \n
- It punishes the "outsider" who breaks into the matrimonial home through illicit relationship with one of the spouses.
- Contentiously, only the $\underline{\text{erring man}}$ is liable to be punished for the offence.
- The provisions give only <u>husbands the exclusive right</u> as an aggrieved party to prosecute the adulterer in a case involving his wife.
- However, a similar right has not been conferred on a wife to prosecute the woman with whom her husband has committed adultery.
- Also, the provision does not confer any right on the wife to prosecute her husband for adultery.
- Further, if the <u>husband</u> of the woman gives his <u>consent</u> for the sexual affair with another man, no offence lies.
- \bullet The law also does not take into account cases where the husband has sexual relations with an <u>unmarried woman</u>. \n

What are the concerns?

 $n\n$

\n

- The provisions grant relief to the wife by $\underline{\text{treating her only as a victim}}$ going by the wide spread societal presumption.
- There are questions as to why the prosecution under Section 497 completely dependent on the husband's word. $\$
- So much so that a woman can enter into an adulterous relationship if her husband consents.

\n

• Section 497 demeans a woman to the extent of her being considered the husband's "commodity".

\n

 $n\n$

What was the court's earlier stance?

 $n\n$

۱'n

• A three-judge bench had, in 1985, dismissed the argument that Section 497 was discriminatory.

\n

• The judgment said a wife could always initiate <u>civil action</u> against her unfaithful husband.

\n

• The court agreed that "a man seducing the wife of another" was the most seen and felt evil in society.

\n

 $n\n$

What is the significance of SC's move?

 $n\$

۱n

- Responding to a PIL, the SC had issued a notice to the Centre saying Sec 497 appeared to be "archaic" and not gender-neutral.
- The decision of Court to re-examine the offence is an admission that it had earlier gone wrong in denying the discriminatory nature of the law.

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu

\n

