

Reviving the Fertiliser Industry

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

- \bullet The centre aims at building a vibrant home-grown fertiliser industry.
- \bullet However, the government approach seems to be lacking attention on the structural and pricing issues rampant in the fertiliser sector. \n

 $n\n$

What are the recent measures?

 $n\n$

\n

• Plans are on to pump over Rs 30,000 crore into reviving five of eight sluggish urea-making PSUs.

۱n

- \bullet Also, the government has decided to write-off around Rs 20,000 crore. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$
- \bullet This relates to government loans and interest accrued to three public sector fertiliser companies. $\mbox{\sc h}$
- \bullet The Centre, in all, relies on ramping up the presence of the PSUs in the fertiliser sector to ensure self-sufficiency in fertilisers. \n

 $n\n$

What are the concerns in the sector?

 $n\n$

\n

• **Production** - India's consumption of both nitrogenous and phosphatic fertilisers grew at a moderate pace in recent years.

\n

• However, the domestic production, especially in the private sector, has weakened.

\n

• Less competitive - Indian players have less natural competitive advantage as against the global players.

۱n

- This is largely because of the regressive policies of the government, which is resulting in lack of material competitive edge.
- Cost Relying on imports for most of the feedstock for the industry results in accelerated production cost.
- However, selling prices for both urea and 'decontrolled' fertilisers are fixed way below the production costs.
- **Subsidy** Low prices are due to the fact that pricing policy for urea continues to remain highly subsidised.
- Further, the unrealistic norms result in inordinate delays in subsidy reimbursement to the industry.
- **Doing business** Some of the efficient private players who have made investments in the sector had exited, due to poor profit margin.
- \bullet In addition, draconian Government controls on operations hamper the smooth functioning of the industry.
- Besides, sales are subject to overt regulation, working against the ease of doing business principle.

 $n\n$

Is PSUs revival the right option?

 $n\n$

\n

- The PSUs have traditionally struggled with high production costs and inefficiencies in the fertiliser industry.
- Given this, the decision to enhance State presence in the sector is less likely to bear fruit.
- Besides, this could prove expensive for the State's fiscal.

\n

 $n\n$

What should be done?

 $n\n$

\n

- \bullet The inherent concerns in the sector have to be addressed with utmost priority through some deep-rooted structural reforms. $\mbox{\sc h}$
- Enhanced participation of private and foreign players should be facilitated with lighter regulatory structures.
- This is critical for Indian farmers to gain access to latest global advances in nutrients and delivery.
- Further, public-sector disinvestment programme should be routed into the fertilisers sector as well.
- \bullet Importantly, market-linking of fertiliser prices would go a long way in rationalising the prices for both producers as well as consumers. \n

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: Business Line

\n

