

Right to Equal Justice

Why in news?

 $n\n$

The Supreme Court in a recent judgement has urged for a law to check the violation of professional ethics by lawyers.

 $n\n$

What is the case?

 $n\n$

۱n

• The judgment came in the case of a woman from Telangana whose husband died in a road accident.

\n

- She was made to sign a cheque for Rs.3 lakh by a lawyer who represented her accident claims case in the lower courts.
- This was over and above the Rs.10 lakh she had already paid to him.
- In her petition in the apex court, she had argued that the lawyer had exploited her trust.

\n

 $n\n$

What has the court observed?

 $n\n$

\n

• The very essence of the legal profession is to provide inexpensive access to justice in a fair manner.

۱n

• However, the hefty fees charged by lawyers and the commercialisation of the legal profession defeats the very purpose.

- The unregulated practices are getting to be a violation of the fundamental right of the poor to get equal justice.
- \bullet The confidence of the public in the legal profession is integral to the confidence of the public in the legal system. $\mbox{\sc h}$
- A report filed by the Law Commission way back in 1988 for structuring lawyers' fees payments continues to be in cold storage.
- SC has thus called for a law that institutes caps for lawyers' fees.

 $n\n$

What are the drawbacks?

 $n\n$

\n

- **Delay** While lawyers' fee is indeed a big concern in the justice delivery system, the bigger problem is the delays running into decades.
- \bullet The true picture is that under-trials often spend more time in jail than their sentences would have been had they been convicted. $\mbox{\sc h}$
- But, instead of focusing on this real cost, the Supreme Court has focussed on keeping the legal costs minimum.
- \bullet Besides, there are other options available for the concerns that the court had highlighted.
- These include:

\n

 $n\n$

\n

- i. there are always lawyers who charge lower fees; people are free to choose those lawyers if fees are the primary concern
- ii. the government can provide free representation of a better quality \n

 $n\n$

What is more desirable?

 $n\n$

\n

• An appeal to other lawyers to do a certain share of pro bono work (work undertaken without charge for the public good) could be a more sensible approach.

\n

• Putting caps on lawyers' fees looks more appealing since this is visible to everyone.

۱n

- However, the real issue of delays demands proper rules about not granting more than a certain number of adjournments in each case.
- Regulations could involve asking for written submissions that are examined by legal officers that form part of a judge's team.
- \bullet And this essentially has the requirement of hiring more judges and filling up the vacancy in the first place. \n

 $n\$

 $n\$

Source: Financial Express

\n

