



## **Ruling Against Exclusionary Insurance Schemes**

### **What is the issue?**

\n\n

\n

- Delhi High Court has ruled against an insurance firm that rejected the medical claim of a person, by stating contractual conditions.

\n

- The ruling further stressed that discriminatory exemption clauses of insurance policies need to be done away with.

\n

\n\n

### **Why was the claim denied?**

\n\n

\n

- The insurance claims of a person with a rare heart condition was rejected by the United India Insurance Company.

\n

- This was because the heart condition was due to a genetic disorder, which isn't covered under the policy.

\n

- Notably, insurance policies sold to individuals invariably contain a plethora of exclusions in the fine print, which diminishing their practical value.

\n

- By its very nature, such exclusion defeats the purpose of the health policy and tilt the balance heavily in favour of the insurer firms.

\n

\n\n

### **What was the Delhi high court's ruling?**

\n\n

\n

- When the case was taken to the Delhi high court, it ruled against the insurance firm, and stated that the rejection of the claim was discriminatory.  
\n
- The court has held that exclusions cannot be unreasonable or based on a broad parameter such as genetic disposition or heritage.  
\n
- The order hence upheld the insurance claim made, and noted that the case can't be seen merely as a contractual issue.  
\n

\n\n

- Rather, it has reasoned this as an extension of the 'right to health' as derived from the Article 21 (Right to life) in the Constitution.  
\n
- Further, it was noted that 'right to health' is meaningful only with the right to health care, and by extension, health insurance required to access it.  
\n
- It also called for the elimination of all other arbitrary exemption clauses in insurance schemes to curtail people's rights.  
\n

\n\n

### **What is the significance of the ruling?**

\n\n

- This judgement is indeed a significant one and the central government and the Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority (IRDAI), should heed to it.  
\n
- They must review all the policies, and eliminate unreasonable exclusionary clauses designed to avoid claims.  
\n
- Notably, the government has already stated its intent in proceeding aheadf with a universal "National Health Protection Scheme".  
\n

\n\n

### **How does the insurance landscape look in India?**

\n\n

- Several studies have pointed out that health insurance in India suffers from

lack of scale, and presently covers only about 29% of the households.

\n

- Even among those within the insurance bracket, the coverage is only limited and the health-care system also lacks regulation of costs.

\n

- Also, there is an information asymmetry, and the insured member is usually unable to assess the real scope of the policy.

\n

- These highlight the need for stronger regulations within the health insurance and hospital sectors in India.

\n

\n\n

### **What is the way forward?**

\n\n

\n

- This is a necessity to define costs, curb frauds and empower patients.

\n

- Insurance law has to be revisited to ensure that there is a guaranteed renewal of policies, and that age is no bar for entry.

\n

- It needs to be ensured that pre-existing conditions are uniformly covered.

\n

- State sponsored insurance that is universal in coverage (which is envisioned in the long-term) could help in addressing the problems of exclusion.

\n

- As a short-term priority, it is important to remove discriminatory clauses in policies and expand coverage to as many people as possible.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n



# IAS PARLIAMENT

*Information is Empowering*

A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative