Sahara Scam - Missing Investors

Why in news?

 $n\n$

Very few investors in Sahara's firms have come forward to reclaim their money despite SEBI's repeated notices.

 $n\n$

What was the scam about?

 $n\n$

\n

- 'Sahara vs SEBI' case involves the issuance of Optionally Fully Convertable Debentures (OFCD) by the two companies of Sahara India Pariwar.
- \bullet OFCD is a type of debt security where the option is given to the bond holder to convert his debenture into equity share after certain time. \n
- The SEBI had the companies to stop issuing the bonds and return the money to investors.

\n

- Sahara has claimed that the said bonds are hybrid products, thus does not come under the jurisdiction of SEBI.
- It argued that hybrid debentures are governed by Registrar of Companies (ROC), under the Ministry of corporate Affairs, from which permission had already been taken.

 $n\n$

How did the case proceed?

 $n\n$

\n

• While Sahara contested SEBI's order in various courts, before the SC pronounced its final verdict, Sahara claimed that it has already paid back 93% of the investors and discharged its OFCD liability to the tune of Rs. 23500 crores.

\n

- Subsequently, the group failed to satisfy the Supreme Court with evidence of the source of funds used to make the claimed return payments.
 - \n
- This calls for a thorough probe to reveal all its possible money laundering dimensions.

\n

 $n\$

Where are the investors?

 $n\n$

\n

• The total amount that needs to be refunded according to SEBI's estimate now stands at 40,000 crores.

۱n

• Of this, SEBI has received an aggregate amount of about Rs.14,487 crores from the Sahara Group.

\n

• SEBI has been requesting genuine investors in Sahara to step forward and claim their money since 2013.

۱n

- But even after 4 years of notice, only Rs.85.02 crores, of this amount has actually been returned to investors.
- This obviously raises questions about the authenticity of Sahara's investor base, which needs to be investigated thoroughly.
- Sahara's view that most investors aren't coming forward as they've already been paid stands on weak ground due inherent inconsistencies.

\n\n

What is the way ahead?

 $n\n$

\n

• Enforcement Directorate must step in to expedite its current probe into the money laundering angle.

\n

• This will yield better results than waiting for millions of missing investors to turn up.

۱n

 \bullet Finally, the Ministry's rationale for approving Sahara's initial fund-raising efforts should not be left un-investigated either. \n

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu

\n

