

SC Judges Appointment - Concerns

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

• The government recently sent back the SC collegium's recommendation on judges appointment.

\n

• It has raised concerns on the propriety of the Centre to hold back names from the collegium's list.

 $n\n$

What is the ongoing tussle?

 $n\$

۱'n

• The SC collegium recommended a list of names to be elevated as Judges of SC.

\n

• Justice K.M. Joseph and senior advocate Indu Malhotra were recommended together.

\n

- Justice K M Joseph is currently the Chief Justice of Uttarakhand High Court.
- The government however approved only Indu Malhotra's name.
- It however returned Justice K.M. Joseph's name for reconsideration.
- This too was done more than 3 months after the Collegium had approved them.

\n

 \bullet The collegium recently decided to reiterate its recommendation to elevate Justice K M Joseph as SC judge.

• When reiterated unanimously, the Centre is bound to act on the collegium resolution.

۱n

• This is as per the law laid down by the SC in the Third Judges Case of 1998.

 $n\n$

What are the concerns?

 $n\n$

\n

• **Power** - The government's decision to send back the collegium's recommendation was unprecedented.

\n

 \bullet It is suspected to have been influenced by some political considerations. Click $\underline{\text{here}}$ to know more.

\n

• The executive power of the Centre holding back names from the collegium's list needs a reassessment.

\n

• **Seniority** - The Centre has selectively approved some names from a batch of recommendations.

\n

- It could make a difference to the seniority of the judges concerned.
- Notably, seniority is the sole consideration for appointment of the Chief Justice as well as membership of the collegium.
- **Delay** Justice K M Joseph's name would only be part of the collegium's next set of recommendations.

\n

• This would include proposals to elevate the Chief Justices of some more high courts.

\n

• The collegium seems to address the Centre's concern on fair representation to all high courts.

\n

• However, it remains a concern why collegium did not send its reiteration to the Centre immediately.

\n

 $n\n$

What lies ahead?

 $n\n$

\n

- \bullet The unanimous reiteration of the collegium may end the current controversy.
- However, the larger issue of differences between the judiciary and the executive needs attention.

۱n

• In a judge-recommended system of appointments differences over particular candidates cannot be avoided.

\n

• But it should be possible for the two sides to minimise these differences and act expeditiously.

\n

- The onus is more on the government of the day.
- \bullet It should resist from blocking the appointment of anyone whom the judges themselves have found fit and deserving. $\$
- The present consultative process in appointments should not be hampered by executive stubbornness.

\n

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu, Indian Express

\n

